Wide-band Full-polarization Imaging

March 5th 2014







The Scientific Problem

- The scientific problem addressed here requires precise measurement of the sky brightness distribution.
 - Continuum science
 - Wide-field polarimetry
 - Spectral behaviour
 - Temporal behaviour
- The goal of calibration, imaging and deconvolution therefore is to derive a precise model for the sky brightness
 - Imaging is **not** inter-changeable with *somehow* removing the foreground emission (the scientific result is not in the background emission)
 - Even where the scientific result is in the background, requirement will be precision measurement of the *real* background emission



The Summary

- Sky brightness at low radio frequencies
- Reminder of some fundamental principles behind interferometric imaging based on the physics of the measurement process
 - Fundamental separation of noise, signal and instrumental/atmospheric terms based on the physics of the measurement process
- Equivalence between DI calibration and DD corrections
 - Show that Projection algorithms are in-fact a true DD generalization of DI calibration. E.g., WB A-Projection == DD Band-pass calibration
- Single pointing wide-band wide-field imaging
 - Projecting-out the dominant effects (PB effects)
 - Results: Simulations (for understanding)
 - Results: Application to real data and comparison with known facts (for verification)



Sky at low frequencies: No. of sources



- PSF side-lobe at 1% level \rightarrow deconvolve sources >100µJy for 1µJy/beam RMS
- 10^{3-4} sources per deg² >10µJy @ >=600 MHz
 - Source size distribution important at resolution < -2"
- Implications for imaging
 - 1. Wide-field imaging
 - HDR imaging: few X 100 mJy 1 Jy source ~few sq. deg.



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

1.4GHz

1.5

0.5

-0.5

0

Sky at low frequencies: Confusion limit



- $\sigma_{\text{confusion}} \propto (v^{-2.7}/B_{\text{max}}^2)$: $B_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \text{ Km at 200MHz for } \sigma_{\text{confusion}} \sim 1\mu \text{Jy/beam}$
- Implications for imaging
 - 1. Long baselines: B_{max} > 10 Km & DR > 10⁴
 - 2. Wide-field effects: W-term, PB effects, ionospheric effects
 - 3. Larger data volume



Wide-field, wide-band, high resolution, HDR imaging using large data volumes is a natural consequence of low frequency and high sensitivity

Sky at low frequencies: Confusion limit



Principles of interferometric CAL



• Calibration model

$$V_{ij}^{Obs} = G_{ij} V_{ij}^{M} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

- G_{ij} is separable into antenna-based quantities $G_{ij} = G_i \otimes G_j^T$ - ϵ_{ii} is **not** separable into antenna-based quantities



Principles of interferometric CAL

- Calibration terms are necessarily separable into antenna-based quantities
- Closure quantities encodes this physics of the measurement in the calibration (and imaging!) process.
 - Triple Product is a Good Measurable of the phase due to <u>only</u> the sky emission

 $arg\left(G_{ij}G_{jk}G_{ki}\right) = arg\left(V^{o}\right) for identical antennas$ = Pancharatanam/Geometric Phase of Phyiscal Optics (A&A, 375, 355-350, 2001)

 The *final* calibration products (one used to construct a calibrated image) must remain expressible as antenna-based quantities



Principles of interferometric CAL

- Measurement noise is a Gaussian random process (Central Limit Theorem). Therefore, x^2 is the optimal estimator
- These fundamental principles, based on physics alone, lead to the antsol algorithm (solver engine behind DI SelfCal)

$$min \sum_{ij} |V_{ij}^{Obs} - G_{ij} V_{ij}^{M}|^{2}$$
 w.r.t. G_{ij}

• Simple Steepest Descent iterative solver (not LM!)

$$G_{i}^{N} = \frac{\sum_{j,i\neq j} X_{ij} G_{j}^{N-1} W_{ij}}{\sum_{j,i\neq j} G_{i}^{N-1} G_{j}^{N-1^{T}} W_{ij}} \quad where \quad X_{ij} = V_{ij}^{Obs} V_{ij}^{M^{-1}}$$

- In use for the past many decades
 - See Cornwell MNRAS '81, Thompson&D'Addario Radio Sc. '82 for the first papers
 - See Bhatngar & Nityananda A&A 2001 for a modification to include "pseudo closure terms"
 - See Bhatnagar (PhD thesis) for a pedagogical derivation



Principles of Interferometric CAL-IM

• ME:
$$V_{ij}^{Cal} = A_{ij} I^M + A_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}$$

- Imaging: Solve for the coefficients of $I^{M} = \sum_{k} c_{k} I_{k}$
- Fundamental principle(s) required to enforce separation of I from A_{ii}
 - For the Calibration-Imaging process to converge, and
 - The result to be provably consistent with the truth
- 1. Sky brightness is <u>not</u> expressible as antenna based quantities
- 2. Correlation-lengths in the image domain fundamentally also separates signal (sky brightness) from noise



Principles of Interferometric CAL-IM

• CAL-IM algorithms obeying these principles can solve ME of type

$$V_{ij} = G_{ij} F \left[c_0 I_0 + c_1 I_1 + ... \right] + \epsilon_{ij}$$

• Another model to describe the measurements

$$V_{ij} = F \left[G_{ij,0}(c_0 I_0) + G_{ij,1}(c_1 I_1) + \dots \right] + \epsilon_{ij}$$

- No constraints on solvers mixing terms with incompatible physics
- Formulation inconsistent with the basic physics of the measurement process
 - Measurements are corrupted by strictly antenna-based quantities
- i.e., hard to imagine a solver which *also* obeys the physics of observation



Principles of Interferometric DD CAL-IM

- Therefore, we include *all* calibration terms, *even if DD*, in the ME as
 - Antenna-based term(s)
 - Fundamentally separate from parameters that model the sky brightness

$$V_{ij} = G_{ij} F M_{ij} \left[c_0 I_0 + c_1 I_1 + \dots \right] + \epsilon_{ij}$$

- 1. Solvers generalized for DD calibration *without* violating Closure Principle (e.g. Pointing SelfCal)
- 2. Deconvolution algorithms designed to **not** mix instrumental/atmospheric terms and sky brightness model (e.g. RMSynth, MS-MFS)
- 3. Combined DD-correcting image deconvolution algorithms obey the fundamental principles: designed which converge to results that are provably consistent with the truth (e.g. Projection+MS-MFS)



Equivalence between DI and DD terms

- ME with DI terms $V_{ij}^{Obs} = \left[J_i \otimes J_j^*\right] \cdot \left[V_{ij}^o\right] = \left[M_{ij}^{DI}\right] \cdot \left[V_{ij}^o\right]$
- ME with DD terms $V_{ij}^{Obs} = \left[E_i \circledast E_j^*\right] \cdot \left[V_{ij}^o\right] = \left[M_{ij}^{DD}\right] * \left[V_{ij}^o\right]$
 - DI Mueller: Outer product of two antenna-based terms
 - DD Mueller: Outer convolution of two antenna-based terms (ApJ, 2013)
 - DI operator: Matrix multiplication
 - DD operator: Matrix multiplication algebra with convolutions

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{pp}^{Obs} \\ V_{pq}^{Obs} \\ V_{qp}^{Obs} \\ V_{qp}^{Obs} \\ V_{qp}^{Obs} \\ V_{qq}^{Obs} \\ V_{qq}^{Obs} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & M_{14} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} & M_{34} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} & M_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{pp}^{o} \\ V_{pq}^{o} \\ V_{qp}^{o} \\ V_{qq}^{o} \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonal: "pure" poln. products Off-diagonal: Include poln. leakage

Equivalence between DI/DD corrections

• Full-pol DI correction

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Corr} = \left[\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DI^{-1}}\right] \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Obs}\right] = \frac{adj(\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DI})}{det(\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DI})} \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Obs}\right]$$

Equivalent Complex math.:
$$G_i^{-1} = \frac{G^*}{|G|^2}$$

- A-Projection (and related) algorithms: Full-pol DD correction
 - Generalization of DI correction

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Corr} = \left[\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DD^{-1}}\right] * \left[\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Obs}\right] = \frac{adj(\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DD})}{det(\boldsymbol{M}_{ij}^{DD})} * \left[\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}^{Obs}\right]$$

• Projection algorithms are a DD generalization of the DI calibration



Equivalence between DI/DD corrections

- DI Jones Matrix: Each term is a complex gain (a number)
 - Receiver gains and polarization leakages

$$J_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{p} & -D_{p \to q} \\ D_{q \to p} & G_{q} \end{bmatrix}$$

- DD Jones Matrix: Each term is a complex gain pattern (a 2D function)
 - Antenna off-axis gains and polarization leakages





S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

All PB effects together

NRAO



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

Projection algorithms=DD corrections

- DD corrections cannot be done independent of imaging
 - But the imaging algorithms must fundamentally (explicitly) separate them from sky-brightness parameters
- Projection algorithms project-out DD effects as part of the transform to image domain

$$V_{ij}^{Corr} = \frac{adj(M_{ij}^{DD})}{det(M_{ij}^{DD})} * [V_{ij}^{Obs}]$$

$$I^{Corr} = \frac{F \sum_{ij} \left[adj(M_{ij}^{T}) \right] * \left[V_{ij}^{Obs} \right]}{F \sum_{ij} det(M_{ij})}$$
 Image plane normalization



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

DD Corrections: Projection Algorithms

• Construct an operator X which projects-out the undesirable effects of A?

$$X_{ij} V_{ij}^{DI-Cal} = X_{ij} A_{ij} V^{True}$$

such that $X_{ij} A_{ij} = \mathbf{1}$

- W-Projection: X is the conjugate of the w-term
- A-Projection: X is the polarization conjugate of the PB term
 - Does not project-out WB effects of the PB (A&A, 2008)



PB Polarization Effects

Stokes-V Images ("Narrow band")





S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

Instrumental frequency dependence

- Continuum imaging $I^{continuum} = \int P_{ii}(s, v, t) I(s, v) dv$
- Antenna PB (*The* $P_{ii}(s, v, t)$)
 - Frequency dependence





PB Freq. dependence (blue curve)



Instrumental frequency dependence



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

Wide-Band AW-Projection

- Construct X such that it is *also* a frequency-conjugate for PB
- Correct for PB effects + W-term
 - Polarization: Squint + in-beam polarization
 - Time variability: Rotation with Parallactic Angle





PB Frequency dependence (blue curve)

S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

Wide-Band AW-Projection

$$A_{ij}(v_{*})$$
 where $v_{*} = \sqrt{2 v_{ref}^2 - v^2}$



NRAO





WB AW-Projection + MT-MFS

- Simultaneously account for the PB effects and frequency dependence of the sky <u>Separation of instrumental calibration and sky brightness terms:</u>
 - PB effects corrected by WB A-Projectior
 - PB-corrected image used in MT-MFS for model the frequency dependence of the sky brightness



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014

Wide-Band AW-Projection + MT-MFS

Results verifiable consistent with the truth

Intensity weight Spectral Index Map Wide-field Spectral Index maps comes out in the wash correctly



The Conclusion

- Wide-band, wide-field, high dynamic range imaging is a natural consequence of high sensitivity imaging at low radio frequencies
 - Large data and large images is also a natural consequence
- Fundamental principles behind interferometric imaging based on the physics of the measurement process
 - Algorithms must show that they obey closure relations
 - Algorithms must obey fundamental separation of signal, noise and calibration parameters
- Projection algorithms are true DD generalization of DI corrections
 - NB A-Projection is the DD equivalent of DI gain correction
 - WB A-Projection is the DD equivalent of DI bandpass correction
 - Possible to invent DD solvers which can be shown to obey physics principles
- Single pointing wide-band wide-field imaging
 - WB A-Projection + MT-MFS: Verified with simulations and real data
 - Further work in progress to test other terms

Imaging with the EVLA @ L-Band



Wide-band mosaic+Single Dish Working on Stokes-I + Sp.Ndx. mapping-

Intensity-weighted Sp. Ndx. Map

Single pointing, narrow field, wide-band image (Owen, Rau)



S. Bhatnagar: CALIM 2014, Kiama, AU, March 5th 2014