

Optical and X-ray Identification of Faint Radio Sources in the GOODS-S ACS Field

J. Afonso^{1,2}, B. Mobasher^{3,4}, A. Koekemoer³, R. P. Norris⁵, L. Cram⁶

ABSTRACT

We present optical and X-ray identifications for the sixty-four radio sources in the GOODS-S ACS field revealed in the ATCA 1.4 GHz survey of the Chandra Deep Field South. Optical identifications are made using the ACS images and catalogs, while the X-ray view is provided by the Chandra X-ray Observatory 1 Ms observations. Redshifts for the identified sources are drawn from publicly available catalogs of spectroscopic observations and multi-band photometric-based estimates. Using this multiwavelength information we provide a first characterization of the faint radio source population in this region. The sample contains a mixture of star-forming galaxies and active galactic nuclei, as identified by their X-ray properties and optical spectroscopy. A large number of morphologically disturbed galaxies is found, possibly related to the star-formation phenomena. In spite of the very deep optical data available in this field, seven of the sixty-four radio sources have no optical identification to $z_{850} \sim 28$ mag. Only one of these is identified in the X-rays.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: starburst — radio continuum: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

The Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS) (Giavalisco et al. 2004) provides deep multi-wavelength observations in the Hubble Deep Field-North (HDF-N) and

¹Universidade de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências, Observatório Astronómico de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-018 Lisboa, Portugal; jafonso@oal.ul.pt

²Centro de Astronomia e Astrofísica da Universidade de Lisboa

³Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore MD 21218, USA

⁴Also affiliated to the Space Sciences Department of the European Space Agency

⁵Australia Telescope National Facility, PO Box 76, NSW 1710, Epping, Australia

⁶The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200 Australia

Chandra Deep Field-South (CDFs). The fields have been imaged by the *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST), using the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) in four bands (F435W, F606W, F775W and F850LP). At infrared wavelengths, observations by the *Spitzer Space Telescope* use the IRAC (3.6-8 μm) and MIPS (24 μm) instruments. The deepest X-ray surveys currently available have been made in the GOODS fields, using the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* and *XMM-Newton*. The GOODS fields are also targets of many ground-based studies using 4- and 8-meter class telescopes, providing imaging and spectroscopic data in optical and near-infrared bands. The richness and depth of data implies that GOODS will be a foundation for the study of galaxy formation and evolution over the next few years.

Space and ground-based observations and data acquired up to 2004 are described by Giavalisco et al. (2004). The available spectroscopic redshifts for these fields, combined with the multi-waveband imaging dataset, have been used to calibrate and determine photometric redshifts of many sources to faint magnitudes (e.g. Mobasher et al. 2004; Wolf et al. 2004).

Deep radio observations of GOODS represent an excellent opportunity to explore a number of unresolved questions regarding radio emission from galaxies, since GOODS sources have a plethora of multiwavelength data available for their characterization. Additionally, radio data on GOODS sources can, in some situations, resolve uncertainties and/or provide unique insights about the astrophysical processes at play.

It is believed that the decimetric radio emission from galaxies is dominated by the synchrotron emission from electrons accelerated either by shock waves associated with supernovae (and hence star formation, SF), by processes energized by a massive nuclear object (an active galactic nucleus, AGN), or by a combination of the two (Condon 1992).

Radio emission associated with AGNs is responsible for the great majority of decimetric sources brighter than about ≈ 5 mJy. The optical hosts of these sources include massive elliptical galaxies, QSOs and Seyfert galaxies. Radio selection of sources brighter than a few mJy preferentially selects for “radio-loud” AGNs having relatively high radio-to-optical luminosity ratios. AGNs also exist in the sub-mJy population: some are distant radio-loud sources, and others are intrinsically low-luminosity radio sources hosted by objects similar to those hosting radio-loud AGNs. Observations of the radio emission from AGNs provide information about the existence and properties of central black holes, especially when combined with observations of X-ray power (e.g. Merloni et al. 2003), as well as clues to some properties of the interstellar and intergalactic medium.

Among samples of radio sources selected at ever-fainter sub-mJy flux densities, the proportion of AGNs systematically declines in favor of sources energized by star formation (e.g. Windhorst et al. 1985; Georgakakis et al. 1999; Afonso et al. 2005). The optical hosts

of these starforming radio sources include blue galaxies with optical colors revealing a young stellar population, and extremely red galaxies with evidence for a very high degree of dust extinction. High resolution optical imaging often reveals disturbed morphologies indicative of interactions and/or merging activity among the sub-mJy radio population (e.g. Richards et al. 1998).

There is a tight correlation between the decimetric radio luminosity and the far-infrared (FIR) luminosity of starforming galaxies. In such sources the FIR emission is believed to be thermal emission from dust heated by stellar UV and optical emission. When the extinction is so high that almost all of the stellar luminosity is absorbed by dust, the FIR luminosity measures the total UV/optical power and hence (if the initial mass function is known) the rate of star formation (e.g. Yun et al. 2001). The correlation between FIR and radio luminosity allows the use of radio power observations to determine star-formation rates for galaxies that are too faint to be detected as FIR sources. Importantly, decimetric radio emission is free of extinction.

Deep decimetric observations of GOODS fields thus offer astrophysically useful data on black hole properties, star-formation processes, properties of the interstellar and intergalactic medium, and the relative densities and evolution of some major galaxy populations. Accordingly, this paper commences the investigation of radio sources in the GOODS CDFS field, in particular the HST-ACS region which has homogeneous optical, radio and X-ray coverage. To assist building a resource for the entire community, optical and X-ray identifications of the sources revealed in the recent ATCA 1.4 GHz radio observations (Koekemoer et al. 2006, in preparation) are reported promptly. Throughout this paper, and unless otherwise noted, we adopt $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2. Multiwavelength observations

2.1. Radio observations

The CDFS was observed at radio (1.4 GHz) wavelengths using the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA). A total of 120 hours of observations were obtained, resulting in a 1.2 square degree area field covering the CDFS to a limiting (1σ) sensitivity of $\approx 14 \mu\text{Jy}$ and a beam size of $16.8'' \times 6.95''$. Source detection provided a catalog of 683 sources, with integrated flux densities between $61 \mu\text{Jy}$ and 170 mJy . Koekemoer et al. (2006, in preparation) present the observations, data reduction methods and the approach used to construct the radio source catalog.

Within the GOODS ACS CDFS region, a total of 64 radio sources are found, with

1.4 GHz flux densities between $63 \mu\text{Jy}$ and 20 mJy . Figure 1 shows the radio view of the this field. This sample and its optical and X-ray properties are presented in the present paper.

2.2. Optical observations

The HST-ACS observations of the CDFS taken as part of the GOODS project (Giavalisco et al. 2004) were used. The data release v1.0 includes $F435W$ (B_{435}), $F606W$ (V_{606}), $F775W$ (i_{775}) and $F850LP$ (z_{850}) reduced, calibrated, stacked and mosaiced images and corresponding catalogs, providing photometry of sources detected in the z_{850} -band. Combined $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ images were made, in order to search for faint optical counterparts of radio sources not detected in the single z_{850} band.

2.3. X-ray observations

The deep Chandra X-ray Observatory observations of the CDFS (Giacconi et al. 2002) were used. The integration time amounts to 1 Ms, being one of the deepest X-ray observations ever taken.

Initially, the X-ray point-source catalogs from Alexander et al. (2003) were searched for counterparts of radio sources. The $S/N = 3$ sensitivity limits in the $\approx 1 \text{ arcmin}^2$ region at the aim point are $\approx 5.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\approx 2.8 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 0.5–2.0 keV and 2.0–8.0 keV bands, respectively (Alexander et al. 2003).

The catalogs presented in Giacconi et al. (2002) were also inspected for X-ray detections not reported in Alexander et al. (2003): the different data reduction and source detection methods result in a few faint sources being detected in only one of these works, which is nevertheless still relevant for the current study. However, this imposes some non-uniformity for the reported X-ray characteristics. While the catalogs produced by Alexander et al. (2003) provide counts and fluxes in various bands covering the 0.5–8.0 keV interval, and effective photon index¹ (Γ), those by Giacconi et al. (2002) provide only counts and fluxes in the 0.5–2.0 keV and in the 2.0–10.0 keV bands.

In order to provide comparable quantities for sources detected in these different catalogs, we estimate the X-ray flux in the 2–8 keV band for three sources detected only in the Giacconi

¹As described in (Alexander et al. 2003), the effective photon index Γ is calculated for a power-law model with the Galactic column density, taking into account the ACIS quantum efficiency degradation.

et al. (2002) catalogs, using the reported 2.0–10.0 keV flux and assuming a power-law photon index of 1.4, the average value for the remaining X-ray detected sources, as mentioned below. Still, the different reduction techniques and assumptions (considering or not the ACIS degradation, for example) between these X-ray catalogs should be noted.

3. Source Identification

Optical counterparts of radio sources in the GOODS ACS field were identified using the likelihood ratio method of Sutherland & Saunders (1992). For each radio source, the optical identification with the highest reliability (\mathcal{R}), if above 20%, was taken as the real optical counterpart - if several optical identifications have similar values for \mathcal{R} , the various possibilities are considered. Identifications were inspected visually to check for special situations where the likelihood ratio method would not apply, as in the case of non-independent sources (either in the radio or in the optical).

The identification of the X-ray counterparts was performed by searching the 3σ radio position error region. Visual inspection was used to associate the optical and X-ray identifications.

A few sources require special mention. Source #13 (ATCDFS_J033213.28) coincides with two optical sources in the ACS z_{850} image, although the GOODS catalog shows a single identification. SExtractor was used to deblend the two objects and obtain positions and optical magnitudes for both. Source #19 (ATCDFS_J033218.62) and source #21 (ATCDFS_J033219.62) are $12''$ apart and display similar radio fluxes (4.8 mJy and 5.9 mJy, respectively). They are regarded as being the two radio lobes of the same radio galaxy, optically identified with a cD-type galaxy located roughly halfway between the two. Source #55 (ATCDFS_J033244.16) is identified with an extended interacting pair of galaxies, their optical positions lying just outside and beside the radio position error ellipse. This system is considered as the optical counterpart of the radio emission.

Table 1 presents the final source identifications. The columns display:

- (1) Source number;
- (2) Radio source name;
- (3) 1.4 GHz flux density and associated error;
- (4) ACS identification;
- (5) reliability (\mathcal{R}) of the ACS identification;

- (6) distance in arcsec between the radio and the ACS positions;
- (7) ACS z_{850} (AB) magnitude;
- (8) Chandra X-ray Observatory identification;
- (9) distance in arcsec between the radio and the CXO positions;
- (10) X-ray flux in the 0.5 - 2 keV band;
- (11) X-ray flux in the 2 - 8 keV band;

Radio sources with no optical counterpart show the z_{850} 3σ upper limit, estimated from the pixel rms (0.0008 - 0.0015 counts s^{-1} pixel $^{-1}$), integrated over a $0.2'' \times 0.2''$ aperture (4×4 ACS pixel box). The reliability calculation for optical identifications assumes each optical detection individually. Whenever a merger or interacting pair of galaxies is identified as the optical counterpart, and one of the sources clearly dominates \mathcal{R} , we present in Table 1 only the highest value of \mathcal{R} , noting that this will be a lower limit for the true reliability of the optical system. Sources with an X-ray identification from Giacconi et al. (2002) are identified by their reported source number in that work.

Of the 64 radio sources in the GOODS ACS CDFS region, two are considered to be radio lobes of the same radio galaxy. For most of the sample, a reliable unique optical counterpart is found, but eight of the radio sources have several possible optical counterparts: five of these (sources #13, #47, #48, #51 and #55) arise from likely interacting pairs, for which we present in Table 1 data for both members, while the remaining three (sources #41, #52 and #60) are ambiguous identifications, each with two seemingly independent likely optical counterparts. The X-ray detection for sources #41 and #52 suggests which optical counterpart is the real one. Seven (11%) radio sources have no optical counterpart. One of these, source #42 (ATCDFS_J033233.44), is associated with faint X-ray emission reported in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog.

Figure 2 shows gray-scale images in $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$, with radio contours and X-ray detections overlaid.

4. Source characterization

Using the deep multiwavelength data available, we provide a first characterization of the radio source population in the GOODS-S ACS field. Several indicators were employed, as described below.

4.1. X-ray-to-optical flux ratios

The X-ray-to-optical flux ratio can provide a first indication of the nature of a galaxy (e.g., Maccacaro et al. 1988; Stocke et al. 1991; Hornschemeier et al. 2003). Here, we estimate the X-ray-to-optical flux ratio from the relation

$$f_x/f_{opt} = \log(f_{0.5-2\text{keV}}) + 0.4 z_{850} + 6.12 \quad (1)$$

where the X-ray flux is measured in $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in the 0.5-2 keV band. This expression results from the X-ray-to-optical flux ratio definition of Stocke et al. (1991), that involved 0.3-3.5 keV flux and V -band optical magnitude. These quantities were converted to 0.5-2 keV flux and z_{850} magnitude assuming a power-law X-ray spectral energy distribution with $\Gamma = 1.4$ and a $V - z_{850}$ color of 1.2 mag, which are the averages for galaxies in the current sample with detections in these bands. Assuming this expression, AGNs will *typically* display X-ray-to-optical flux ratios above -1 , while star-forming galaxies *tend* to display lower values (e.g. Stocke et al. 1991; Schmidt et al. 1998; Shapley et al. 2001; Hornschemeier et al. 2001; Alexander et al. 2002).

4.2. Radio-to-optical flux ratios

We also define a radio-to-optical flux ratio as

$$f_{1.4}/f_{opt} = \log(S_{1.4\text{GHz}}) + 0.4 z_{850} - 6.56 \quad (2)$$

where we use the measured radio flux density at 1.4 GHz in mJy. Although this is not an AGN/star-formation discriminator, since high radio-to-optical fluxes can be seen in both radio-powerful AGN or dust-rich galaxies, it is still useful to identify extreme sources in the sample.

4.3. Spectroscopic Redshifts

The CDFS is being intensively studied by several groups. In particular, spectroscopic observations of the optical counterparts of many X-ray sources have been made. The counterparts of the faint radio sources in the GOODS ACS CDFS field presented here were thus searched for the availability of spectroscopic redshifts from Szokoly et al. (2004), Le Fèvre

et al. (2004), Vanzella et al. (2004) and Mignoli et al. (2005). The first reports spectroscopic observations of possible optical counterparts of X-ray sources in the CDFS, down to $R \sim 26$ mag, using the VLT with the FORS1/FORS2 spectrographs. Le Fèvre et al. (2004) describe the results of the VIMOS VLT Deep Survey around the CDFS, which includes 784 redshifts in the ACS area, down to $I_{AB} = 24$ mag. Vanzella et al. (2004) present the VLT-FORS2 spectroscopic observations of sources in the GOODS-S ACS region. Source selection in that investigation was optimized to detect high redshift objects, in order to take advantage of the characteristics of FORS2 (high throughput and spectral resolution, and reduced fringing at red wavelengths), mostly down to $z_{850} = 24.5$ mag. Finally, Mignoli et al. (2005) deals with the VLT-FORS1/FORS2 spectroscopic observations of K_s -selected galaxies from the K20 survey, which includes a 32.2 arcmin^2 field within the CDFS.

4.4. Photometric Redshifts

Several investigations for photometric redshifts in the CDFS region have been made in the past. Particularly relevant is the study by the COMBO-17 project, which has observed this region with the *Wide Field Imager* (WFI) at the ESO 2.2-m telescope with a 17-band filter set covering the 350 – 930 nm wavelength range. As explained by Wolf et al. (2004), this “very-low resolution spectra” allows accurate photometric redshifts to be obtained down to $R = 23 - 24$ mag. Seeing-adaptive, weighted aperture photometry is used, to measure the same fraction of an object in every band independently of seeing effects - for most objects this is similar to a flux measurement in an aperture of $1.5''$ diameter in $1.5''$ seeing (Wolf et al. 2004). The spectral energy distribution templates used include star, galaxy (with and without extinction) and QSO types. Mean redshift and variance (1σ) as well as the classification from the best fit procedure were extracted from the catalog (Wolf et al. 2004) and presented here.

For optically fainter sources, eventually at higher redshifts, the near-infrared bands not covered by the COMBO-17 dataset assume a more important role. To get a photometric redshift estimate for these objects, we have also searched the catalog of Mobasher et al. (2004), which uses ground-based optical ($U'UBVRI$ -bands) and near-infrared (JHK_s) data from ESO facilities (WFI at the 2.2-m telescope, FORS1 and ISAAC at the VLT, SOFI at the NTT) as well as space observations from *HST*/ACS ($B_{435}, V_{606}, i_{775}, z_{850}$), resulting in as many as 18 independent photometric measurements for each source. While useful for fainter sources, the spectral libraries used do not include QSO templates, which results in lack of accuracy for some sources, as can be seen in this paper. Also, the photometry measurements in Mobasher et al. (2004) were made through matched $3''$ diameter apertures in all bands. In

the case of some optical sources with very-close neighbors, often only identified in the ACS images, this can bias the photometric redshift estimate: we note such cases when commenting on the individual sources.

4.5. X-ray and Radio Luminosities

Luminosities were estimated whenever a redshift determination exists. In order of reliability, we have considered the spectroscopic redshift or, in its absence, the photometric redshift from the COMBO-17 work. For faint sources with no other redshift information, the estimate from Mobasher et al. (2004) was used.

The rest-frame X-ray luminosity was calculated as

$$L_X = 4 \pi d_L^2 f_X (1 + z)^{\Gamma-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where d_L is the luminosity distance (cm), f_X is the X-ray flux in the 0.5-8 keV band ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), and Γ is the photon index, taken from Alexander et al. (2003). For sources detected only in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalogs, the 0.5-8 keV X-ray flux is taken as the sum of the 0.5-2 keV and the 2-8 keV fluxes, where the latter is derived from the quoted 2-10 keV flux assuming $\Gamma = 1.4$, as explained above.

The rest-frame radio luminosity density was calculated as

$$L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} = 4 \pi d_L^2 S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} 10^{-33} (1 + z)^{\alpha-1} \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where d_L is the luminosity distance (cm), $S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ is the 1.4 GHz flux density (mJy), and α is the radio spectral index ($f_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$). In determining the radio luminosity density we have assumed $\alpha = 0.8$, the characteristic radio spectral index of synchrotron radiation.

Of the 65 optical sources considered in Table 1, 34 have a spectroscopic redshift, while a further 24 have a photometric redshift estimate.

4.6. Classification

We adopt a classification based primarily on the observed X-ray properties L_X and hardness ratio (HR). L_X is derived from the 0.5–8 keV fluxes and spectroscopic or photometric redshifts, while HR is calculated as $HR = (H - S)/(H + S)$, with H and S being,

respectively, the count rates in the hard (2–8 keV) and soft (0.5–2 keV) bands taken from Alexander et al. (2003). For sources detected in X-rays only in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalogs, we assume the quoted hardness ratio derived from the 0.5–2 keV and 2–10 keV band counts, neglecting the (small) discrepancy arising from the different hard band used. Our classification rests on the criteria adopted by Szokoly et al. (2004), namely:

galaxy: $L_X < 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $HR \leq -0.2$;

AGN-2: $10^{41} \leq L_X < 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $HR > -0.2$;

AGN-1: $10^{42} \leq L_X < 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $HR \leq -0.2$;

QSO-2: $L_X \geq 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $HR > -0.2$;

QSO-1: $L_X \geq 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $HR \leq -0.2$.

In view of the different X-ray catalog employed here, the different bands used to calculate L_X (0.5–8 keV instead of 0.5–10 keV) and the different K-corrections employed in the calculation of L_X we note that there are some (expected) differences between the X-ray classification presented in Szokoly et al. (2004) and the one herein for some galaxies that appear in both works.

Among sources with insufficient X-ray information (or no X-ray detection), an AGN identification was assigned whenever $L_X \geq 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (source classified as AGNX) or $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \geq 10^{24.5} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ (source classified as AGNR), as derived from the spectroscopic redshift or the most likely photometric redshift estimate. The adopted radio luminosity limit is derived from the radio luminosity distribution for star-forming galaxies and AGNs observed in the Phoenix Deep Survey (Afonso et al. 2005).

Also the optical spectroscopic information, where available, was used. Since most sources with a spectrum were observed by Szokoly et al. (2004), we adopt their optical classification: BLAGN, whenever the emission lines are broad (full width half maximum $> 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), HEX for galaxies displaying narrow emission lines some of which are not found in HII regions (e.g., $[\text{NeV}]\lambda 3425$, $\text{HeII}\lambda 1640$), LEX for objects with HII region-type spectrum and ABS for galaxies with an absorption line spectrum. Spectra from Le Fèvre et al. (2004), Vanzella et al. (2004) and Mignoli et al. (2005) were visually inspected and classified accordingly. Finally, diagnostic emission line ratios were used to separate Seyfert 2’s from starforming galaxies, whenever suitable emission lines of significant S/N were present, providing an extra degree of information from the optical spectra.

The final characterization of the radio sample is presented in Table 2, which contains the following information:

- (1) source number;
- (2) spectroscopic redshift and its origin;
- (3) spectroscopic quality flag - given the different sources for spectroscopic information, we have converted quality flags from the other works to a scale more similar to the one in Szokoly et al. (2004), namely: $Q_z = 2.0$ denotes a reliable redshift determination, $Q_z = 1.0$ indicates that some spectroscopic feature exists but cannot be securely identified, and $Q_z = 0.5$ flags sources for which there is only a hint of a spectral feature;
- (4) photometric redshift from the COMBO-17 work (Wolf et al. 2004);
- (5) classification from the COMBO-17 work (Wolf et al. 2004);
- (6) results from the photometric redshift work of Mobasher et al. (2004). The photometric redshift and associated 95% confidence interval, the best-fit spectral galaxy type (E=1, Sbc=2, Scd=3, IM=4, Starburst=5,6) and number of bands in which the object is detected are presented;
- (7) log of 1.4 GHz luminosity in W Hz^{-1} ;
- (8) log of X-ray (0.5-8 keV) luminosity in erg s^{-1} ;
- (9) radio-to-optical flux ratio;
- (10) X-ray-to-optical flux ratio;
- (11) HR, derived from the 0.5–2 and 2–8 keV band counts. For sources detected only in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalogs, we report the quoted hardness ratio, derived from the 0.5–2 keV and 2–10 keV band counts, clearly marking such cases;
- (12) effective photon index Γ , as given by Alexander et al. (2003);
- (13) classification obtained from the X-ray characteristics (L_X and/or HR) or, if possible for sources with insufficient X-ray information, from the radio power;
- (14) classification obtained from the optical spectrum, as in Szokoly et al. (2004), or after estimating any possible line-emission diagnostic ratios (Sey2 or SF).

5. Comments on individual sources

1: ATCDFJ033159.86-274541.3: Unidentified at optical ($z_{850} > 27.7$ mag and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) and X-ray wavelengths.

2: ATCDFJ033204.81-274125.8: The optical counterpart matches the position of the X-ray identification. It presents a complex optical morphology, with several peaks of brightness within a $0.5 \times 0.5''^2$ region. The spectroscopic redshift ($z_{sp} = 0.720$), based on a single emission line considered to be MgII, is supported by the photometric redshift estimate. The high X-ray luminosity and hard X-ray spectrum indicate a type 2 AGN.

3: ATCDFJ033205.07-274535.5: A faint, extended optical object is observed $2.6''$ away from the radio position, at the border of the 3σ radio position error region. The estimated photometric redshift is highly uncertain owing to the small number of optical bands where the object is detected. No X-ray emission is observed.

4: ATCDFJ033208.51-274648.8: A bright ($z_{850} = 18.6$ mag) disk galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.310$, also detected at X-rays. Optical spectroscopic line ratios indicate the presence of an AGN, confirmed by the X-ray emission properties (L_X and HR), despite the low X-ray-to-optical flux ratio (source undetected in the soft 0.5-2 keV X-ray band).

5: ATCDFJ033208.60-274043.0: An optically bright ($z_{850} = 20.0$ mag) disk galaxy at $z_{ph} = 0.35$. An optically unidentified X-ray detection exists just outside the radio 3σ error region, but it seems unrelated to the radio emission.

6: ATCDFJ033208.67-274734.3: An optical point-like source at $z_{sp} = 0.543$, showing indications of underlying low-level extended optical emission. The implied high X-ray luminosity and hard X-ray spectrum classifies this as a type-1 QSO. Broad emission lines are detected in the optical spectrum.

7: ATCDFJ033209.72-274249.0: A spheroidal galaxy also detected in X-rays, at $z_{sp} = 0.733$. The X-ray emission is characteristic of a type-1 AGN. Two nearby (within $20''$) galaxies show similar redshifts, at $z_{sp} = 0.728$ and $z_{sp} = 0.729$. This is one of the members of the structure found at $z \sim 0.73$ in the CDFS (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

8: ATCDFJ033210.80-274629.2: A compact optical source also detected in X-rays. The redshift ($z_{sp} = 1.610$) implies high X-ray and radio luminosities characteristic of an AGN, as does the X-ray-to-optical flux ratio.

9: ATCDFJ033210.91-274415.1: A broad emission line type-1 QSO at $z_{sp} = 1.615$ as revealed by the optical spectroscopy and X-ray properties. Extended emission from the host galaxy is visible in the ACS images.

10: ATCDFJ033211.00-274053.6: A compact optical source, also displaying X-ray emission, close ($3.5''$ away) to a bright spiral galaxy. The absence of a spectroscopic or a photometric redshift prevents classification of this object, although the X-ray-to-optical flux

ratio is indicative of an AGN. The observed properties of this source are similar to those of the type-1 QSO identified for source #9, perhaps at a higher redshift.

11: ATCDFJ033211.51-274711.5: A galaxy with a highly irregular optical morphology at $z_{sp} = 0.576$. No X-ray emission is detected.

12: ATCDFJ033213.08-274351.0: Despite being a relatively bright radio source in this sample ($S_{1.4\text{GHz}} = 1.4\text{ mJy}$), there is no likely optical ($z_{850} > 28.2\text{ mag}$ and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) or X-ray counterpart. The radio-to-optical flux ratio (>4.86) is one of the largest in this sample.

13: ATCDFJ033213.28-274240.3: A complex system apparently involving a bright ($z_{850} = 19.7\text{ mag}$) galaxy (source #13a) with faint spiral structure surrounded by several knots of optical emission, and a possible edge-on galaxy (source #13b). This possible merger system is identified as a single object in the public ACS GOODS catalog: SExtractor was used to deblend the two major components. The brightest source (#13a) is an X-ray detection at a redshift of $z_{sp} = 0.605$, with X-ray properties characteristic of a type-2 AGN.

14: ATCDFJ033214.17-274910.2: A faint ($z_{850} = 24.1\text{ mag}$), extended object with a redshift of $z_{ph} = 1.66$, and no X-ray detection.

15: ATCDFJ033217.11-274303.9: A spheroidal galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.569$, with optical spectroscopy indicating a broad emission line AGN, and the X-ray emission classifying it as a type-1 AGN.

16: ATCDFJ033217.22-275222.4: The likely optical counterpart is a disk galaxy at $z_{sp} = 1.097$ with X-ray emission indicative of a type-2 AGN. The radio emission extends to the southeast, towards a brighter source with similar spectroscopic redshift of $z_{sp} = 1.098$. The spectroscopic observations available in a $1' \times 1'$ region centered in this source reveal eight redshifts between 1.05 and 1.10. This indicates the existence of a high-redshift complex likely including also radio source #20. In fact, a massive group has recently been detected in the CDFS at this very redshift (Adami et al. 2005).

17: ATCDFJ033218.01-274718.4: A galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.734$, also detected at X-rays. Both optical spectroscopy and X-ray properties indicate a star-forming galaxy, although at high X-ray and radio luminosities. The ACS image actually resolves this source into a brighter spheroidal component and a much fainter companion $0.5''$ away, likely revealing a merging event. Five nearby (within $30''$) sources have similar spectroscopic redshifts ($z_{sp} = 0.73 - 0.74$). These are members of the structure known to exist in the CDFS at this redshift (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

18: ATCDFJ033218.03-275056.2: A faint optical source with a complex mor-

phology lies inside the radio position error region. The photometric redshift ($z_{ph} = 1.04$), essentially from ground-based photometry, is likely to be biased by a slightly brighter source $0.4''$ to the south, whose relation to the assumed optical ID is unclear. A nearby bright disk galaxy and the X-ray detection east of the radio coordinates are too distant to be considered related to the radio emission.

19: ATCDFJ033218.83-275410.3: No optical identification exists within the 3σ radio position error region. Instead, this radio source is thought to form, with source #21, the double radio lobe structure related to a cD-type galaxy $10.2''$ away (bright galaxy indicated by the horizontal lines), at a photometric redshift of $z_{ph} = 0.96$. A weak X-ray detection from the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog is associated to the optical galaxy, indicating the presence of a type-2 AGN. From the available redshift surveys in this field, there are four nearby galaxies at similar redshifts ($z_{sp} = 0.97 - 1.04$), which indicates the presence of a group. It is unclear the association with another weak X-ray detection, $3''$ south from the radio position (see Figure 2), again detected only in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog (identified as source 527 in that catalog).

20: ATCDFJ033219.41-275216.5: An irregular galaxy showing indications for a tidal tale towards the southeast, which suggests a merger event. The photometric redshift $z_{ph} = 1.05$ is comparable to the spectroscopic redshift of 1.096 for the slightly fainter galaxy $3''$ to the southwest, a possible merger companion. The redshifts suggest membership of the structure discussed for source #16.

21: ATCDFJ033219.57-275403.2: This source is thought to be the second radio lobe associated to a cD-type galaxy $5.5''$ away (the bright galaxy indicated by the horizontal lines). See the discussion for source #19, the other proposed radio lobe.

22: ATCDFJ033219.82-274121.2: A galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.229$, also detected at X-rays. The X-ray emission does not provide a definite classification of this source, although the optical line ratio points to a Seyfert 2 type galaxy. The X-ray-to-optical flux ratio, however, is more indicative of star-formation.

23: ATCDFJ033221.24-274436.1: The optical identification seems to be part of a merging pair, the second galaxy just outside the radio position error region. The optical spectrum, revealing a redshift of $z_{sp} = 0.524$, likely includes light from both sources, $0.9''$ apart. This is also a weak X-ray detection in the 0.5-1 keV band (source S12 of Alexander et al. 2003). The low X-ray-to-optical flux ratio is indicative of star-formation.

24: ATCDFJ033221.92-274243.8: A weak extended optical source. The photometric redshift of $z_{ph} = 1.54$ may be biased by a brighter source $1''$ to the north, whose relation to the assumed optical ID is unclear.

25: ATCDFJ033222.36-274807.3: Unidentified at optical ($z_{850} > 28.4$ mag and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) and X-ray wavelengths. The nearby X-ray detections seem unrelated to the radio emission.

26: ATCDFJ033222.64-274424.5: A spiral galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.737$, displaying weak X-ray emission detected only in the 0.5-8 keV band (source 148 of Alexander et al. 2003). The optical spectroscopy, although of low S/N, is indicative of star-formation, as is the low X-ray-to-optical flux ratio. This is another likely member of the structure detected at this redshift in the CDFS (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

27: ATCDFJ033224.59-275441.6: A disk galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.123$, with no X-ray emission. The available optical spectroscopy does not provide enough lines to apply line ratio diagnostics.

28: ATCDFJ033225.15-275452.2: A ellipsoidal galaxy with a spectroscopic redshift of $z_{sp} = 1.090$, also detected at X-rays. The X-ray emission classifies it as a type-2 AGN, while the optical spectroscopy reveals an absorption-line spectrum. This is a likely member of the structure recently found at $z \sim 1.10$ in the CDFS (Adami et al. 2005).

29: ATCDFJ033226.98-274106.5: This is the brightest radio source in the ACS area, with $S_{1.4\text{GHz}} = 20$ mJy. The optical identification is a point-like source which shows the brightest X-ray flux in this sample. At $z_{sp} = 0.734$, this is a type-1 QSO, with optical spectroscopy revealing a broad-line AGN. At least three other galaxies lie at the same redshift in the surrounding $1' \times 1'$ region, again tracing the structure detected at this redshift in the CDFS (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

30: ATCDFJ033227.64-275040.5: A galaxy at $z_{sp} = 1.097$, also detected in X-rays. The optical image reveals a very irregular, knotty morphology, possibly indicative of a merging event and related star-formation. The X-ray emission does not elucidate on the processes behind its luminosity, although the X-ray-to-optical flux ratio is typical of star-forming galaxies. The optical spectroscopy presents some lines which are more common on AGNs (e.g., [NeV] λ 3425). However, this line may also be generated in shocks in interacting galaxies. This radio source is another likely member of the massive group recently detected in the CDFS at $z \sim 1.10$ (Adami et al. 2005).

31: ATCDFJ033227.98-274641.5: A bright ($z_{850} = 19.4$ mag) disk galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.247$. This source was observed spectroscopically by Croom, Warren, & Glazebrook (2001), revealing a spectra dominated by absorption features, although it presents emission in H α and [NII]. A fainter optical source, which could be a companion galaxy, is seen $1.5''$ to the north

32: ATCDFJ033228.31-273842.9: A galaxy at $z_{ph} = 0.39$ presenting irregular structure with a nearby ($1.7''$ away), possibly related, companion.

33: ATCDFJ033228.71-274402.3: Unidentified at optical ($z_{850} > 28.1$ mag and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) and X-ray wavelengths.

34: ATCDFJ033228.78-274620.8: An ellipsoidal galaxy at a redshift of $z_{sp} = 0.738$, also detected in X-rays. Several nearby fainter optical sources exist and low-level optical emission bridges to at least one neighbor, which suggests interaction. The galaxy is classified as a type-1 AGN from its X-ray properties. Again, this is a likely member of the $z \sim 0.73$ structure that exists in the CDFS (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

35: ATCDFJ033229.59-274332.5: Unidentified at optical ($z_{850} > 28.1$ mag and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) and X-ray wavelengths.

36: ATCDFJ033229.83-274423.7: A flocculent galaxy, with X-ray emission coincident with its nuclear region. At $z_{sp} = 0.076$ the galaxy is part of a larger structure - low-level optical emission connects this galaxy to the optical identification of source #38, indicating an interacting pair (as previously noted by Giacconi et al. 2001), and a smaller galaxy at the same redshift is located roughly $20''$ west. The X-ray characteristics indicate a star-forming galaxy.

37: ATCDFJ033229.89-274520.0: A spiral/flocculent galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.953$. X-ray emission detected towards the west side of the galaxy could be related to an area of brighter optical emission in the western spiral arm. The X-ray and radio characteristics do not reveal AGN activity. Two other galaxies in the immediate vicinity (within $9''$) lie at similar redshifts, indicating the presence of a larger structure.

38: ATCDFJ033229.96-274404.8: Another flocculent galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.076$, connected by low-level optical emission to source #36. X-ray emission is detected north of the nuclear region, with characteristics indicative of star formation.

39: ATCDFJ033229.98-275258.7: An extended faint optical source ($z_{850} = 26.19$), is identified as the possible optical counterpart. However, this is a complex region, both in the optical and in the radio: a couple of faint optical sources appear near the radio position in the combined $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image, and the radio emission partly overlaps source #40. In fact, an X-ray source associated with an optically complex multiple system is observed halfway between sources #39 and #40: a possible interpretation would be that these two radio sources are somehow associated with the X-ray source (source S22 of Alexander et al. 2003), although the X-ray characteristics and optical spectroscopy do not indicate any

AGN activity.

40: ATCDFJ033230.20-275312.7: The most likely optical counterpart is a very faint ($z_{850} = 26.8$ mag) galaxy revealed in the combined $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image as a pair of sources. It should be noted that this is a complex region in both the optical and the radio, with some overlapping between the radio emission of sources #39 and #40, which could even be related; see the discussion for source #39.

41: ATCDFJ033231.44-274621.5: The radio source has two candidate optical IDs with similar values of \mathcal{R} . The most likely (source #41a) is an X-ray source with a spectroscopic redshift $z_{sp} = 2.223$, which agrees with the photometric redshift estimate. This source has a high X-ray luminosity indicating an AGN (see also Szokoly et al. 2004), although Daddi et al. (2004) argues that it may be a vigorous star-forming galaxy. Recently, van Dokkum et al. (2005) performed Gemini near-infrared spectroscopy of this source, and found a surprising lack of AGN spectral features. Instead, the observed Seyfert-like line ratios could be explained by shock ionization due to a strong galactic wind in a starburst galaxy. A second possible identification (source #41b) has no detectable X-ray emission and a photometric redshift estimate of $z_{ph} = 0.84$. The optical image shows an extended galaxy, hinting at the existence of tidal tail disruption.

42: ATCDFJ033233.44-275228.0: Unidentified at optical ($z_{850} > 28.1$ mag and undetected in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image) wavelengths. A weak X-ray detection exists in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog.

43: ATCDFJ033234.97-275456.1: A faint object is just perceptible in the z_{850} image, although it is not present in the public ACS GOODS catalogs. In the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image, two faint objects are visible. Inspection of the individual optical bands reveal one of these sources appearing only in the bluer bands (B_{435} and V_{606}), while the other appears mainly in the V_{606} and i_{775} band, being just hinted in the redder band at $z_{850} \sim 27.5$ (value from aperture photometry).

44: ATCDFJ033235.07-275532.8: A disk galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.038$ with X-ray emission originating from the disk. As discussed by Szokoly et al. (2004), the X-ray luminosity, hardness ratio and the location of the X-ray emission indicate a ultraluminous X-ray source (ULX) hosted by a normal galaxy. The low radio luminosity is consistent with this interpretation.

45: ATCDFJ033235.46-275452.8: A faint optical source ($z_{850} = 26.8$ mag) is identified as the possible counterpart, although the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image hints at the presence of fainter sources in the region. The optical counterpart candidate displays a faint elongated morphology. No X-ray emission is present in this region.

46: ATCDFJ033235.71-274916.0: A compact optical source with a close neighbor only visible in the ACS images (0.3'' away) is the probable identification, at $z_{sp} = 2.578$. X-ray emission is also present. The radio and X-ray properties classify this as a type-2 AGN.

47: ATCDFJ033237.22-275129.7: A pair of interacting galaxies at a photometric redshift, which includes light from both sources, of $z_{ph} = 0.53$. The western galaxy is a face-on spiral (source #47a) while the eastern source is disk galaxy (source #47b). No X-ray emission is detected.

48: ATCDFJ033237.75-275000.7: A complex optical system at $z_{ph} = 1.36$, with this photometric redshift estimate including light from the entire region. Two optical sources separated by around 1'' are identified as likely counterparts. Careful inspection of the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image reveals four patches of optical emission surrounded by elongated structures of low surface brightness, perhaps indicating a merger event. No X-ray emission is detected.

49: ATCDFJ033237.75-275211.9: A compact optical source also detected in the X-rays with $z_{sp} = 1.603$. The X-ray properties (luminosity and hardness ratio) indicate a type-1 QSO.

50: ATCDFJ033238.75-274632.5: The possible optical identification for this weak radio source is a bright ($z_{850} = 21.533$ mag) galaxy at $z_{ph} = 0.57$. However, we note that this optical identification is of low reliability ($\mathcal{R} = 0.23$), and the slightly extended east-west radio contours raise the possibility of complex radio emission originating in more than one of the galaxies seen in this region.

51: ATCDFJ033239.46-275300.9: Two ellipsoidal galaxies separated by 1.2'' in a likely merging event (as indicated by the low surface brightness extended emission). The photometric redshift is $z_{ph} = 0.65$, identical for both sources, but resulting from separate analysis in the Wolf et al. (2004) work.

52: ATCDFJ033239.64-274851.8: Two unrelated optical sources are possible counterparts. The most likely counterpart (source #52a) is an X-ray source with $z_{sp} = 3.064$, classified as a type-2 AGN from its X-ray properties. The other possible counterpart (source #52b) has no X-ray emission and a photometric redshift of $z_{ph} = 1.40$.

53: ATCDFJ033240.82-275545.8: A faint optical source ($z_{850} = 25.18$ mag) with X-ray emission. A spectrum with a “hint of some spectral feature” was obtained for this source by Szokoly et al. (2004), suggesting a redshift of $z_{sp} = 0.625$. The photometric estimate results in a higher value of $z_{ph} = 1.81$. Considering even the smallest (spectroscopic) value, the X-ray characteristics indicate a type-2 AGN consistent with the X-ray-to-optical

flux ratio.

54: ATCDFS_J033243.12-275514.2: A pair of galaxies separated by $0.6''$ with disturbed morphology associated with traces of low surface brightness tails, indicating a merging system. The photometric redshift, based on the light from both sources, is $z_{ph} = 1.63$. No X-ray emission is detected.

55: ATCDFS_J033244.16-275142.4: A spectroscopically confirmed pair of galaxies at $z_{sp} = 0.279$. The western companion (source #55a) is orientated edge-on and has clear signs of dust obscuration, displaying an optical spectrum dominated by absorption features. The eastern galaxy (source #55b) displays an emission-line optical spectrum with inconclusive line ratios. This latter galaxy is an X-ray source, with X-ray characteristics indicative of star-formation. However, the optical spectroscopy reveals line ratios suggesting a Seyfert 2 type galaxy.

56: ATCDFS_J033244.93-274726.2: A spiral galaxy at $z_{sp} = 0.214$, with no X-ray emission and narrow emission-line optical spectrum.

57: ATCDFS_J033245.03-275438.8: A face-on spiral galaxy with $z_{sp} = 0.458$, showing knots of star formation. Faint X-ray emission is detected, possibly off-centered towards the north arm of the galaxy. The X-ray characteristics are consistent with star formation.

58: ATCDFS_J033246.03-275318.2: No reliable optical counterpart is detected for this radio source, even in the stacked $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ image. No X-ray emission is observed either. One should note that this is a complex region in the radio, since the radio emission overlaps with that of source #59.

59: ATCDFS_J033246.33-275328.6: The likely optical counterpart exhibits a complex morphology and an estimated photometric redshift of $z_{ph} = 0.833$. No X-ray emission is detected.

60: ATCDFS_J033246.78-275120.1: Two galaxies without X-ray emission are possible optical counterparts, with similar values for \mathcal{R} . Photometry indicates $z_{ph} = 1.06$ for the southern object (source #60a), while the northern galaxy (source #60b) has no reliable redshift determination.

61: ATCDFS_J033248.02-275414.7: A faint optical source ($z_{850} = 26.57$ mag) lies close to the radio position and is the possible optical counterpart. The faint magnitude prevents any photometric redshift estimate.

62: ATCDFS_J033248.62-274934.9: An extended object at a spectroscopic redshift of $z_{sp} = 1.117$ which, despite based on a low S/N spectrum, is supported by the photometric

redshift estimates. A second optical source lies less than $1''$ to the southeast, just outside the 3σ radio position error region. Traces of low surface brightness emission connect both sources, which suggests an interacting system. This is also a weak X-ray detection in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog.

63: ATCDF5_J033300.91-275049.4: A bright ($z_{850} = 21.67$ mag) optical source is identified as the possible optical counterpart, although at a relatively low value of \mathcal{R} . No X-ray emission is detected from this region.

64: ATCDF5_J033302.99-275147.3: An X-ray counterpart exists with a weak optical source ($z_{850} = 25.13$ mag) lying just outside the position error box of the X-ray detection. The photometric redshift of the optical source is $z_{ph} = 0.45$, as estimated by Mobasher et al. (2004). However, for this same optical source, Zheng et al. (2004) finds $z_{ph} = 3.69$, using other photometric redshift codes. If the optical and X-ray emission are from the same source, the X-ray characteristics indicate, at the lowest redshift mentioned above, a type-1 AGN. If the higher redshift is the correct one, then this will be classified as a type-1 QSO.

6. Discussion

There are 64 sources in the radio catalog. Likely ACS counterparts have been identified for 50 of these (78% - where we include unique optical counterparts with $\mathcal{R} \geq 0.5$, likely merger systems and sources #19 and #21 as the radio lobes of the same radio galaxy). Optical identifications with lower reliability ($\mathcal{R} < 0.5$) exist for 7 radio sources, including three cases where seemingly independent optical identifications are equally reliable at the $\mathcal{R} \sim 0.5$ level (sources #41, #52 and # 60). For 7 radio sources (11%) no optical identification was possible.

The redshifts for the optically identified radio sources range from below 0.1 to above 3, although most are found up to $z \sim 1.1$. This is in agreement with previous work on the Hubble Deep Field North (HDF-N) and the Small Selected Area (SSA) 13 fields, which reach similar depths for the spectroscopic observations (Chapman et al. 2003). Several radio sources are identified at $z \sim 0.73$ and $z \sim 1.10$. These are likely members of the structures already found in the CDFS region (Gilli et al. 2003; Szokoly et al. 2004; Adami et al. 2005).

A total of 34 radio sources (53%) have an X-ray counterpart - in all but three cases, the X-ray identifications are unambiguously associated with reliable optical counterparts of the radio sources. For sources #41 and #52, where two optical sources appear as possible counterparts, the X-ray detection suggests which optical counterpart is the real one. Source #42 has no optical counterpart despite the X-ray detection.

The 7 radio sources without ACS counterparts (hereinafter “optically faint radio sources”) are of particular interest. In a pioneering study of optically faint sub-milliJansky radio sources, Richards et al. (1999) reported that approximately 60% of the radio sources detected in very deep 1.4 GHz surveys of the Hubble Deep Field (North) and the Small Selected Area 13 fields, have relatively bright ($I \sim 22$ mag) disk galaxy counterparts, 20% have low-luminosity AGN counterparts, and the remaining 20% have no counterpart brighter than $I = 25$. Deep K -band imaging of this population revealed that several are very red objects, with $I - K > 4$ (Richards et al. 1999), suggesting that these objects form an inhomogeneous population, including high-redshift dusty starbursts, extreme redshift radio-loud AGNs, moderate redshift AGNs with optical hosts having a relatively low optical power, or one-sided radio jets. With the deep ACS imaging reported here, we find 16 sources (25%) with $z_{850} \geq 25$ mag, with the fraction of optically unidentified ($z_{850} \gtrsim 28$ mag) radio sources being 11% (7 sources). However, the radio flux density of 3 out of these 7 optically unidentified sources is brighter than 1 mJy, significantly brighter than the sources studied by Richards et al. (1999). These sources are either extremely reddened, or are extremely “radio loud”. They invite follow-up infrared imaging to elucidate their character.

Altogether, there are 23 radio sources (counting sources #19 and #21 as a single one) for which a classification is possible based on the X-ray emission alone. Of these, 15 (65%) have an AGN designation, 4 (17%) are QSOs, and 4 are classified as normal galaxies. Thus, based on the properties of X-ray counterparts, 19 (30%) of the radio sources have evidence of an AGN. Considering only the sub-mJy radio sources in the sample, then 15 out of 55 sources (27%) show signs of AGN activity based on their detected X-ray emission alone. The proportion of faint radio sources associated with AGN X-ray sources is thus significantly higher than the proportion (20%) of radio sources with evidence of AGN activity reported by Richards et al. (1999) on the basis of classification by radio spectral index and optical morphology.

It is claimed that very deep radio images will provide a population of radio-selected objects that are of great astrophysical interest, since they will be selected without the intervention of dust obscuration. The present survey lends weight to this claim. The optical and X-ray counterparts of the faint radio sources, as well as the blank fields, invite further study at infrared wavelengths since many will be galaxies exhibiting evidence of violent star formation and AGN activity at intermediate and high redshifts.

7. Conclusions

We present the cross-correlation between a deep radio survey of the GOODS-S ACS field and the available optical and X-ray data. Out of the 64 radio sources in this region, 58 have an optical and/or X-ray identification. Spectroscopic or photometric redshifts are available for the majority of these sources, which allows a classification to be established.

The superb ACS imaging quality reveals the morphological characteristics of the radio sample which can now be used, in particular, to analyze in more detail the morphology of radio-selected star-forming galaxies.

In spite of the very deep optical observations available, seven radio sources remain optically unidentified, all but one revealing no indications of X-ray emission. Infrared observations, in particular those being done by the Spitzer Space Telescope, will probably reveal some of these sources, likely to be very dusty star-forming galaxies or very high redshift AGN. Further radio observations will also be needed to elucidate on the physical mechanisms present in these sources.

JA gratefully acknowledges the support from the Science and Technology Foundation (FCT, Portugal) through the research grant POCTI/CTE-AST/58027/2004. We thank the anonymous referee for insightful and constructive comments that have improved this paper.

REFERENCES

- Afonso, J., Georgakakis, A., Almeida, C., Hopkins, A., Cram, L., Mobasher, B., & Sullivan, M. 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 135
- Adami, C., et al. 2005, *A&A*, in press (astro-ph/0507690)
- Alexander, D. M., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 539
- Alexander, D. M., Aussel, H., Bauer, F. E., Brandt, W. N., Hornschemeier, A. E., Vignali, C., Garmire, G. P., & Schneider, D. P. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L85
- Chapman, S. C., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 57
- Condon, J. J. 1992, *ARA&A*, 30, 575
- Croom, S. M., Warren, S. J., & Glazebrook, K. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 150
- Daddi, E., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, L127

- Georgakakis, A., Mobasher, B., Cram, L., Hopkins, A., Lidman, C., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 708
- Giacconi, R., et al. 2001, ApJ, 551, 624
- Giacconi, R., et al. 2002, ApJS, 139, 369
- Giavalisco, M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, L93
- Gilli, R., et al. 2003, ApJ, 592, 721
- Hornschemeier, A. E., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 575
- Hornschemeier, A. E., et al. 2001, ApJ, 554, 742
- Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2004, A&A, 428, 1043
- Maccacaro, T., Gioia, I., Wolter, A., Zamorani, G., & Stocke, J. 1988, ApJ, 326, 680
- Merloni, A., Heinz, S., & di Matteo, T. 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1057
- Mignoli, M., et al. 2005, A&A, 437, 883
- Mobasher, B., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, L167
- Peacock, J. 2002, Tenerife Winter School, Dark matter and dark energy in the universe , astro-ph/0309240
- Richards, E. A., Kellermann, K. I., Fomalont, E. B., Windhorst, R. A., & Partridge, R. B. 1998, AJ, 116, 1039
- Richards, E. A., Fomalont, E. B., Kellermann, K. I., Windhorst, R. A., Partridge, R. B., Cowie, L. L., & Barger, A. J. 1999, ApJ, 526, L73
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749
- Schmidt, M., et al. 1998, A&A, 329, 495
- Shapley, A., Fabbiano, G., & Eskridge, P. B. 2001, ApJS, 137, 139
- Stocke, J. T., Morris, S. L., Gioia, I. M., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R., Wolter, A., Fleming, T. A., & Henry, J. P. 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
- Sutherland, W., & Saunders, W. 1992, MNRAS, 259, 413
- Szokoly, G. P., et al. 2004, ApJS, 155, 271

van Dokkum, P. G., Kriek, M., Rodgers, B., Franx, M., & Puxley, P. 2005, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/0502082

Vanzella, E., et al. 2004, A&A, submitted

Windhorst, R.A., Miley, G.K., Owen, F.N., Kron, R.G., & Koo, D.C. 1985, ApJ, 289, 494

Wolf, C., et al. 2004, A&A, 421, 913

Yun, M. S., Reddy, N. A., & Condon, J. J. 2001, ApJ, 554, 803

Zheng, W., et al. 2004, ApJS, 155, 73

Table 1. Identification of faint radio sources

No	Radio source	$S_{1.4\text{ GHz}}$ (mJy)	ACS	\mathcal{R}	dist "	z_{850}	CXO	dist "	$f_{0.5-2}$ (10^{-18} W m^{-2})	f_{2-8}
1	ATCDFJ033159.86-274541.3	0.137 ± 0.042	>27.7
2	ATCDFJ033204.81-274125.8	0.095 ± 0.038	J033204.84-274127.4	0.86	1.6	23.545	J033204.89-274127.6	2.0	< 0.23	5.66
3	ATCDFJ033205.07-274535.5	0.087 ± 0.042	J033205.21-274537.3	0.38	2.6	25.300
4	ATCDFJ033208.51-274648.8	0.193 ± 0.040	J033208.53-274648.3	1.00	0.6	18.565	J033208.50-274648.6	0.3	< 0.09	1.14
5	ATCDFJ033208.60-274043.0	0.077 ± 0.038	J033208.67-274042.9	0.98	0.8	20.048
6	ATCDFJ033208.67-274734.3	1.958 ± 0.046	J033208.66-274734.4	1.00	0.2	18.483	J033208.66-274734.4	0.2	44.61	67.71
7	ATCDFJ033209.72-274249.0	0.229 ± 0.039	J033209.71-274248.1	0.99	0.9	20.479	J033209.69-274248.4	0.7	0.42	< 0.62
8	ATCDFJ033210.80-274629.2	0.157 ± 0.042	J033210.79-274627.8	0.98	1.5	22.911	J033210.80-274627.6	1.7	0.09	< 0.38
9	ATCDFJ033210.91-274415.1	3.057 ± 0.052	J033210.91-274414.9	1.00	0.2	22.371	J033210.91-274415.1	0.1	6.14	9.81
10	ATCDFJ033211.00-274053.6	0.286 ± 0.038	J033210.99-274053.7	0.99	0.2	23.577	J033211.00-274053.7	0.1	1.88	3.94
11	ATCDFJ033211.51-274711.5	0.137 ± 0.040	J033211.50-274713.1	0.99	1.5	21.086
12	ATCDFJ033213.08-274351.0	1.366 ± 0.038	>28.2
13a	ATCDFJ033213.28-274240.3	0.127 ± 0.048	J033213.23-274241.0 ^a	0.91	0.9	19.698	J033213.24-274240.9	0.8	2.48	18.73
13b			J033213.37-274239.9 ^a	...	1.3	21.919
14	ATCDFJ033214.17-274910.2	0.141 ± 0.034	J033214.13-274910.1	0.97	0.6	24.105
15	ATCDFJ033217.11-274303.9	0.079 ± 0.040	J033217.14-274303.3	0.98	0.7	20.566	J033217.14-274303.3	0.7	4.50	6.39
16	ATCDFJ033217.22-275222.4	0.063 ± 0.032	J033217.17-275220.8	0.70	1.8	21.766	J033217.18-275220.9	1.7	0.55	20.15
17	ATCDFJ033218.01-274718.4	0.404 ± 0.034	J033218.01-274718.5	1.00	0.1	19.405	J033218.07-274718.2	0.7	0.28	< 0.32
18	ATCDFJ033218.03-275056.2	0.203 ± 0.048	J033218.17-275056.6	0.43	1.8	26.263
19	ATCDFJ033218.62-275411.4	4.779 ± 0.071	J033219.29-275406.1	...	10.2	20.279	(G249) ^c	12.4	0.15	0.61
20	ATCDFJ033219.41-275216.5	0.201 ± 0.032	J033219.52-275217.7	0.55	1.8	21.871
21	ATCDFJ033219.62-275402.9	5.861 ± 0.083	J033219.29-275406.1	...	5.5	20.279	(G249) ^c	4.0	0.15	0.61
22	ATCDFJ033219.82-274121.2	0.228 ± 0.085	J033219.81-274122.7	0.98	1.5	18.736	J033219.81-274123.1	1.9	0.22	< 0.94
23	ATCDFJ033221.24-274436.1	0.178 ± 0.034	J033221.28-274435.6	0.97	0.7	19.625	J033221.24-274435.9	0.2	< 0.08	< 0.31
24	ATCDFJ033221.92-274243.8	0.089 ± 0.040	J033222.01-274243.3	0.80	1.2	24.099
25	ATCDFJ033222.36-274807.3	0.144 ± 0.042	>28.4
26	ATCDFJ033222.64-274424.5	0.097 ± 0.034	J033222.58-274425.8	0.97	1.5	20.279	J033222.63-274426.0	1.5	< 0.07	< 0.43
27	ATCDFJ033224.59-275441.6	0.086 ± 0.038	J033224.53-275443.0	0.96	1.6	18.907
28	ATCDFJ033225.15-275452.2	0.079 ± 0.038	J033225.16-275450.1	0.97	2.1	21.208	J033225.17-275449.6	2.7	< 0.08	3.91
29	ATCDFJ033226.98-274106.5	20.011 ± 0.208	J033227.01-274105.0	0.73	1.6	19.004	J033227.00-274105.1	1.5	49.41	68.58
30	ATCDFJ033227.64-275040.5	0.076 ± 0.032	J033227.72-275040.8	0.92	1.0	21.420	J033227.67-275040.7	0.3	0.03	< 0.27
31	ATCDFJ033227.98-274641.5	0.094 ± 0.030	J033227.99-274639.2	0.96	2.3	19.364
32	ATCDFJ033228.31-273842.9	0.142 ± 0.060	J033228.35-273841.7	0.99	1.4	19.246
33	ATCDFJ033228.71-274402.3	4.061 ± 0.083	>28.1
34	ATCDFJ033228.78-274620.8	0.237 ± 0.036	J033228.74-274620.4	0.98	0.7	21.017	J033228.73-274620.2	0.9	0.25	0.20
35	ATCDFJ033229.59-274332.5	1.429 ± 0.076	>28.1
36	ATCDFJ033229.83-274423.7	1.088 ± 0.044	J033229.88-274424.4	0.97	0.8	16.451	J033229.88-274425.0	1.3	0.81	< 0.34
37	ATCDFJ033229.89-274520.0	0.115 ± 0.054	J033229.85-274520.5	0.91	0.7	21.009	J033229.75-274520.3	1.9	< 0.07	0.13
38	ATCDFJ033229.96-274404.8	0.449 ± 0.036	J033229.99-274404.8	1.00	0.3	16.840	J033230.01-274404.0	1.0	0.57	0.71
39	ATCDFJ033229.98-275258.7	0.082 ± 0.025	J033230.10-275300.1	0.40	2.0	26.190
40	ATCDFJ033230.20-275312.7	0.063 ± 0.024	J033230.23-275312.4	0.62	0.5	26.759
41a	ATCDFJ033231.44-274621.5	0.063 ± 0.036	J033231.46-274623.2	0.49	1.6	22.877	J033231.47-274623.0	1.4	0.06	< 0.33
41b			J033231.40-274621.4	0.48	0.6	23.046
42	ATCDFJ033233.44-275228.0	0.097 ± 0.032	>28.1	(G632) ^c	1.5	< 0.06	0.46
43	ATCDFJ033234.97-275456.1	0.127 ± 0.030	J033234.96-275455.8 ^b	0.98	0.4	27.482
44	ATCDFJ033235.07-275532.8	0.310 ± 0.048	J033235.08-275533.0	1.00	0.2	15.698	J033234.73-275533.8	4.6	< 0.13	1.66
45	ATCDFJ033235.46-275452.8	0.078 ± 0.028	J033235.51-275449.9	0.56	3.0	26.166
46	ATCDFJ033235.71-274916.0	0.072 ± 0.030	J033235.71-274916.0	0.97	0.1	24.660	J033235.72-274916.0	0.1	0.05	0.70
47a	ATCDFJ033237.22-275129.7	0.077 ± 0.032	J033237.17-275127.9	0.90	1.9	21.352
47b			J033237.34-275127.4	...	2.8	21.028

Table 1—Continued

No	Radio source	$S_{1.4\text{ GHz}}$ (mJy)	ACS	\mathcal{R}	dist "	z_{850}	CXO	dist "	$f_{0.5-2}$ (10^{-18} W m $^{-2}$)	f_{2-8}
48a	ATCDFJ033237.75-275000.7	0.181 ± 0.038	J033237.74-275000.4	0.51	0.4	23.353
48b			J033237.76-275001.4	0.48	0.6	23.310
49	ATCDFJ033237.75-275211.9	0.091 ± 0.032	J033237.76-275212.3	0.98	0.3	23.540	J033237.77-275212.4	0.5	5.97	8.78
50	ATCDFJ033238.75-274632.5	0.072 ± 0.030	J033238.60-274631.4	0.23	2.4	21.533
51a	ATCDFJ033239.46-275300.9	0.127 ± 0.032	J033239.47-275300.5	0.57	0.5	20.540
51b			J033239.49-275301.6	0.43	0.7	20.400
52a	ATCDFJ033239.64-274851.8	0.066 ± 0.030	J033239.67-274850.6	0.49	1.3	24.547	J033239.68-274850.7	1.2	0.75	7.06
52b			J033239.56-274851.7	0.47	1.2	22.552
53	ATCDFJ033240.82-275545.8	0.102 ± 0.032	J033240.84-275546.7	0.97	0.9	25.183	J033240.84-275546.6	0.8	0.54	9.34
54	ATCDFJ033243.12-275514.2	0.070 ± 0.034	J033243.17-275514.7	0.79	0.7	23.991
55a	ATCDFJ033244.16-275142.4	0.511 ± 0.030	J033244.05-275143.3	...	1.7	18.491
55b			J033244.27-275141.1	...	1.9	19.166	J033244.28-275141.0	2.1	0.51	< 0.33
56	ATCDFJ033244.93-274726.2	0.107 ± 0.033	J033244.86-274727.6	0.93	1.6	18.456
57	ATCDFJ033245.03-275438.8	0.122 ± 0.038	J033245.02-275439.6	0.99	0.7	18.987	J033244.98-275438.7	0.7	0.14	< 0.60
58	ATCDFJ033246.03-275318.2	0.219 ± 0.046	>28.2
59	ATCDFJ033246.33-275328.6	0.080 ± 0.038	J033246.33-275327.0	0.76	1.7	23.639
60a	ATCDFJ033246.78-275120.1	0.079 ± 0.030	J033246.84-275121.2	0.51	1.3	23.562
60b			J033246.79-275118.8	0.45	1.4	24.769
61	ATCDFJ033248.02-275414.7	0.103 ± 0.047	J033248.05-275412.4	0.59	2.4	26.566
62	ATCDFJ033248.62-274934.9	0.097 ± 0.030	J033248.57-274934.3	0.92	1.0	23.425	(G578) ^c	0.5	0.09	< 0.36
63	ATCDFJ033300.91-275049.4	0.078 ± 0.036	J033300.98-275053.7	0.60	4.3	21.675
64	ATCDFJ033302.99-275147.3	0.080 ± 0.036	J033303.05-275145.8	0.89	1.7	25.134	J033302.97-275146.4	1.0	0.69	0.93

^aOptical sources deblended using SExtractor

^bOptical source not in the released ACS catalogue. The z_{850} magnitude results from aperture photometry.

^cX-ray sources from Giacconi et al. (2002); the source is denoted by its number in the catalogue therein, while the flux in the 2-8 keV band is an estimate based on the reported flux in the 2-10 keV band and assuming a power-law photon index of 1.4.

Table 2. Characterization of faint radio sources

No	z_{sp}	Q_z	z_{ph} (COMBO-17)	type	z_{ph} / type / number of bands (Mobasher et al. 2004)	$L_{1.4\text{ GHz}}$ (W Hz^{-1})	L_X (erg s^{-1})	$f_{1.4}/f_{opt}$	f_x/f_{opt}	HR	Γ	class (X-rays or radio)	class (optical)
1	> 3.66
2	0.720 ^s	1.0	0.686±0.080	Galaxy	1.29 (0.99 – 1.59) / 2.67 / 13	23.30	42.49	1.84	<-0.10	> 0.51	<-0.27	AGN2	LEX
3	0.43 (0.13 – 3.73) / 2.00 / 5	22.73	...	2.50
4	0.310 ^s	2.0	0.328±0.004	Galaxy	0.31 (0.14 – 0.48) / 1.00 / 15	22.76	41.34	0.15	<-2.50	> 0.29	< 0.21	AGN2	LEX/Sey2
5	0.347±0.026	Galaxy	0.44 (0.25 – 0.63) / 2.00 / 13	22.47	...	0.35
6	0.543 ^s	2.0	0.582±0.017	QSO	0.26 (0.09 – 0.56) / 3.67 / 15	24.32	44.08	1.13	0.16	-0.50	1.73	QSO1	BLAGN
7	0.733 ^s	2.0	0.762±0.007	Galaxy	0.71 (0.49 – 0.93) / 1.00 / 13	23.70	42.28	0.99	-1.07	< -0.52	> 1.75	AGN1	LEX
8	1.610 ^m	2.0	1.013±0.212	Galaxy	1.32 (1.02 – 1.62) / 1.00 / 14	24.34	42.47	1.80	-0.76	< -0.05	1.40 ^b	AGNX	LEX
9	1.615 ^s	2.0	1.595±0.010	QSO	0.76 (0.53 – 1.10) / 3.67 / 18	25.63	44.32	2.87	0.86	-0.49	1.69	QSO1	BLAGN
10	2.33	0.82	-0.40	1.50	UNCL	...
11	0.576 ^m	2.0	0.594±0.019	Galaxy	0.94 (0.69 – 1.19) / 2.00 / 18	23.23	...	1.01	LEX
12	> 4.86
13a	0.605 ^s	2.0	0.609±0.008	Galaxy	0.63 (0.42 – 0.84) / 2.33 / 14	23.24	43.21	0.42	-0.61	0.09	0.58	AGN2	HEX
13b	1.31
14	1.66 (1.31 – 2.26) / 4.00 / 15	24.33	...	2.23
15	0.569 ^s	2.0	0.583±0.018	Galaxy	0.59 (0.38 – 0.80) / 2.00 / 15	22.98	43.12	0.56	0.00	-0.52	1.77	AGN1	BLAGN
16	1.097 ^s	2.0	0.843±0.047	Galaxy	1.09 (0.82 – 1.36) / 1.67 / 14	23.55	43.28	0.95	-0.43	0.63	-0.58	AGN2	LEX
17	0.734 ^s	2.0	0.759±0.012	Galaxy	0.77 (0.54 – 1.00) / 1.00 / 17	23.95	41.96	0.81	-1.67	< -0.58	> 1.92	GALA	LEX
18	1.04 (0.77 – 1.46) / 3.67 / 17	24.01	...	3.25
19	0.965±0.014	Galaxy	0.92 (0.67 – 1.17) / 1.00 / 14	25.30	42.38	2.23	-1.59	(-0.12) ^b	...	AGN2	...
20	1.049±0.150	Galaxy	1.07 (0.80 – 1.34) / 1.33 / 13	24.01	...	1.49
21	0.965±0.014	Galaxy	0.92 (0.67 – 1.17) / 1.00 / 14	25.39	42.38	2.32	-1.59	(-0.12) ^b	...	AGN2	...
22	0.229 ^s	2.0	0.226±0.013	Galaxy	0.24 (0.08 – 0.40) / 1.33 / 14	22.53	41.21	0.29	-2.04	< -0.15	> 1.00	UNCL	LEX/Sey2
23	0.524 ^m	2.0	0.460±0.018	Galaxy	0.54 (0.34 – 0.74) / 1.00 / 18	23.25	41.13	0.54	<-2.13	UNCL	LEX
24	1.54 (1.21 – 1.87) / 2.00 / 12	24.05	...	2.03
25	> 3.96
26	0.737 ^s	2.0	0.736±0.015	Galaxy	0.74 (0.51 – 0.97) / 2.00 / 18	23.33	41.72	0.54	<-1.92	...	1.40 ^b	UNCL	LEX/SF
27	0.123 ^l	2.0	0.120±0.010	Galaxy	0.18 (0.03 – 0.33) / 2.00 / 15	21.52	...	-0.06	LEX
28	1.090 ^s	2.0	1.143±0.065	Galaxy	1.01 (0.75 – 1.27) / 1.33 / 14	23.64	42.46	0.82	<-1.49	> 0.69	<-0.76	AGN2	ABS
29	0.734 ^s	2.0	0.754±0.155	QSO	0.07 (0.00 – 0.54) / 6.00 / 14	25.64	44.42	2.34	0.42	-0.53	1.79	QSO1	BLAGN
30	1.097 ^v	2.0	1.119±0.039	Galaxy	1.06 (0.79 – 1.33) / 2.00 / 18	23.63	41.58	0.89	-1.83	< 0.33	...	UNCL	HEX
31	0.247 ^c	2.0	0.240±0.030	Galaxy	0.47 (0.28 – 0.66) / 1.33 / 18	22.22	...	0.16	LEX
32	0.391±0.012	Galaxy	0.45 (0.26 – 0.64) / 1.33 / 9	22.85	...	0.29
33	> 5.29
34	0.738 ^s	2.0	0.710±0.030	Galaxy	0.76 (0.53 – 0.99) / 1.33 / 17	23.72	42.08	1.22	-1.08	-0.67	2.18	AGN1	LEX
35	> 4.84
36	0.076 ^s	2.0	0.075±0.010	Uncl.	0.16 (0.01 – 0.31) / 2.33 / 18	22.18	40.20	0.06	-2.39	< -0.79	> 2.65	GALA	LEX
37	0.953 ^v	2.0	0.811±0.022	Galaxy	1.01 (0.75 – 1.27) / 2.33 / 18	23.67	41.72	0.90	<-1.63	> -0.40	...	UNCL	LEX
38	0.076 ^s	2.0	0.086±0.012	Galaxy	0.11 (0.00 – 0.26) / 2.00 / 18	21.80	40.23	-0.17	-2.39	-0.56	1.87	GALA	LEX
39	2.83
40	2.94
41a	2.223 ^s	2.0	2.21 (1.79 – 2.63) / 2.67 / 18	24.27	42.67	1.39	-0.95	< 0.02	1.40 ^b	AGNX	HEX
41b	0.841±0.055	Galaxy	0.78 (0.55 – 1.01) / 5.33 / 17	23.28	...	1.46
42	> 3.67	UNCL	...
43	3.54
44	0.038 ^s	2.0	0.058±0.006	Galaxy	0.28 (0.11 – 0.45) / 1.33 / 15	21.01	39.72	-0.79	<-3.49	> 0.31	< 0.17	ULX	LEX
45	2.80
46	2.578 ^s	2.0	2.51 (2.05 – 2.97) / 2.00 / 14	24.48	42.62	2.16	-0.32	0.30	0.19	AGN2	HEX
47a	0.528±0.031	Galaxy	0.67 (0.45 – 0.89) / 2.00 / 15	22.89	...	0.87
47b	0.528±0.031	Galaxy	0.67 (0.45 – 0.89) / 2.00 / 15	22.89	...	0.74

Table 2—Continued

No	z_{sp}	Q_z	z_{ph} (COMBO-17)	type	z_{ph} / type / number of bands (Mobasher et al. 2004)	$L_{1.4\text{ GHz}}$ (W Hz^{-1})	L_X (erg s^{-1})	$f_{1.4}/f_{opt}$	f_x/f_{opt}	HR	Γ	class (X-rays or radio)	class (optical)
48a	1.36 (1.05 – 1.67) / 2.33 / 17	24.23	...	2.04
48b	1.36 (1.05 – 1.67) / 2.33 / 17	24.23	...	2.02
49	1.603 ^s	2.0	1.015±0.127	Galaxy	1.76 (1.40 – 2.12) / 1.67 / 14	24.10	44.30	1.82	1.31	-0.51	1.75	QSO1	HEX
50	0.567±0.032	Galaxy	0.58 (0.37 – 0.79) / 2.67 / 18	22.93	...	0.91
51a	0.652±0.012	Galaxy	0.61 (0.40 – 0.82) / 1.00 / 15	23.32	...	0.76
51b	0.650±0.014	Galaxy	0.61 (0.40 – 0.82) / 1.00 / 15	23.32	...	0.70
52a	3.064 ^s	2.0	1.17 (0.89 – 1.45) / 2.33 / 13	24.61	43.83	2.08	0.81	0.18	0.42	AGN2	HEX
52b	1.400±0.076	Galaxy	0.85 (0.61 – 1.09) / 1.33 / 14	23.82	...	1.28
53	0.625 ^s	0.5	1.81 (1.44 – 2.20) / 2.00 / 11	23.18	42.76	2.52	0.93	0.40	-0.02	AGN2	ABS
54	1.63 (1.28 – 1.99) / 2.67 / 14	24.00	...	1.88
55a	0.279 ^s	1.0	0.264±0.022	Galaxy	0.46 (0.27 – 0.65) / 1.33 / 15	23.07	...	0.54	ABS
55b	0.279 ^s	2.0	0.262±0.049	Galaxy	0.28 (0.11 – 0.45) / 1.33 / 15	23.07	41.33	0.82	-1.51	< -0.72	> 2.35	GALA	HEX/Sey2
56	0.214 ^s	2.0	0.187±0.031	Galaxy	0.37 (0.19 – 0.55) / 2.33 / 16	22.14	...	-0.15	LEX
57	0.458 ^s	2.0	0.467±0.008	Galaxy	0.45 (0.26 – 0.64) / 2.33 / 15	22.94	41.38	0.12	-2.14	< -0.09	1.40 ^a	UNCL	LEX
58	> 4.06
59	0.833±0.054	Galaxy	1.40 (1.08 – 1.72) / 1.67 / 11	23.37	...	1.80
60a	1.065±0.106	Galaxy	1.25 (0.89 – 1.55) / 3.67 / 15	23.62	...	1.76
60b	0.17 (0.02 – 3.10) / 3.67 / 13	21.79	...	2.25
61	3.08
62	1.117 ¹	0.5	0.987±0.105	Galaxy	1.06 (0.79 – 1.33) / 1.00 / 9	23.76	...	1.80	-0.56	UNCL	...
63	0.816±0.059	Galaxy	0.87 (0.62 – 1.12) / 2.00 / 13	23.34	...	1.00
64	0.45 (0.25 – 1.35) / 2.00 / 10	22.74	42.05	2.40	1.01	-0.55	1.81	AGN1	...

^aGeneric photon index due to low number of counts

^bHardness Ratio as given in Giacconi et al. (2002), calculated using the 0.5-2 and 2-10 keV bands

^sSpectra from Szokoly et al. (2004)

^vSpectra from Vanzella et al. (2004)

¹Spectra from Le Fèvre et al. (2004)

^cSpectra from Croom, Warren, & Glazebrook (2001)

^mSpectra from Mignoli et al. (2005)




Fig. 1.— The ATCA radio 1.4 GHz image of the GOODS-S ACS field (outlined). The 64 radio sources detected within this field have integrated 1.4 GHz flux densities ranging from $63 \mu\text{Jy}$ to 20 mJy.




Fig. 2.— Optical $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$ images for radio sources in the GOODS-S ACS field with radio contours overlaid and X-ray detections indicated. Source number from Table 1 is printed in the upper left corner of each image, which is roughly $30''$ wide, centered on the radio source coordinates and with North being up and East to the right. The crosses indicate the 3σ radio position error regions. Radio contours go from 45 to $93\ \mu\text{Jy}$ in factors of 1.2 , from 110 to $302\ \mu\text{Jy}$ in factors of 1.4 , and then from $420\ \mu\text{Jy}$ to $13\ \text{mJy}$ in factors of 2 . The horizontal lines enclose the assumed optical counterparts of radio sources, while circles indicate X-ray detections with sizes that denote the X-ray position error. For sources #19, #21, #42 and #62, the double circles indicate X-ray detections present only in the Giacconi et al. (2002) catalog.




Fig. 2.— cont.




Fig. 2.— cont.




Fig. 2.— cont.