

Polarimetry II

Astrophysical applications and advanced techniques

George Heald | OCE Science Leader

26 September 2017

Background image credit: Mulcahy, Beck & Heald (2017, A&A, 600, 6)

CSIRO ASTRONOMY AND SPACE SCIENCE

www.csiro.au




Topics

- Astrophysical motivation: Generation of polarization and propagation effects
 - Synchrotron
 - Faraday rotation
 - Zeeman splitting
- Widefield polarimetry
 - Antenna beam response
 - A projection
- Advanced analysis techniques
 - Rotation measure synthesis / deconvolution
 - Faraday tomography
 - QU fitting & Faraday dispersion modeling

Astrophysical motivation


... but why?

- Polarisation is an excellent probe of magnetic fields in the Universe




Synchrotron radiation

- Probes number density of CREs and strength of magnetic field in the plane of the sky
- Spectral index related to energy of CREs
- For more info, see classic review: Condon (1992, ARAA 30, 575)



Synchrotron polarisation

- Linear polarisation from ordered fields in plane of the sky (max fractional polarization typically $\sim 0.7-0.8$)
- Can be regular/coherent or anisotropic/tangled



Faraday rotation

- Birefringence of magnetised and ionised medium leads to an effect called "Faraday rotation"

Rotation of linear polarization angle is proportional to λ^2 :

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \text{RM} \times \lambda^2$$

Proportionality constant traces conditions in intervening medium:

$$\text{RM} \propto \int n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

Cooper & Price (1962, Nature)





Fig. 1. Frequency dependence of the polarization position angle at three points in Centaurus *A*. *f*, Frequency in c/s. *a*, R.A. 13h 23m 15s; declination $-42^\circ 48.5'$. *b*, R.A. 13h 24m 00s; declination $-42^\circ 30'$. *c*, R.A. 13h 20m 30s; declination $-44^\circ 25'$. Curve *c* has been arbitrarily displaced upwards by 180° relative to *a* and *b*.

Faraday rotation

- Proportional to component of magnetic field along the line of sight
- Highly sensitive to whether field is regular/coherent or anisotropic/tangled



Faraday Rotation



Probing magnetic field directionality

- Faraday rotation caused by LOS magnetic field, and thermal electrons:

$$\text{RM} \propto \int n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

- It is frequency dependent:

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \text{RM} \times \lambda^2$$



Faraday Rotation



Probing magnetic field directionality

- Faraday rotation caused by LOS magnetic field, and thermal electrons:

$$\text{RM} \propto \int n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$


- It is frequency dependent:

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \text{RM} \times \lambda^2$$




Faraday rotation: the $n\pi$ ambiguity

- The ambiguity in polarization angle can lead to errors in Faraday rotation measure (both magnitude and sign!)
- Critical for interpretation of magnetic field directionality
- One of the reasons that RM Synthesis was developed - discussed in the Advanced Techniques section of this lecture.




Faraday rotation: What it looks like

- LOFAR observation (120-170 MHz, steps of 50 kHz)
- Stokes Q and U, one frame per channel
- Polarized pulsar with $RM = -64 \text{ rad/m}^2$ (more about this later)




Stokes Q



Stokes U


Faraday rotation: What it looks like

- LOFAR observation (120-170 MHz, steps of 50 kHz)
- Stokes Q and U, one frame per channel
- Polarized pulsar with $RM = -64 \text{ rad/m}^2$ (more about this later)




Faraday rotation: What it looks like

- LOFAR observation (120-170 MHz, steps of 50 kHz)
- Stokes Q and U, one frame per channel
- Polarized pulsar with $RM = -64 \text{ rad/m}^2$ (more about this later)



Ionospheric Faraday rotation

- Ionosphere is also a magnetised plasma, and contributes up to $\sim 1\text{-}2 \text{ rad/m}^2$ of Faraday rotation depending on look direction and space weather conditions -- this is highly time variable.
- Several recent publications on this topic in the literature specifically for low frequency observations. See e.g. Emil Lenc's excellent overview of MWA polarization techniques and applications: Lenc et al (2017, PASA, in press; arxiv:1708.05799)
- ionFR (Sotomayor-Beltran et al 2013, A&A 552, 58)
- RMextract (M. Mevius; <http://github.com/maaijke/RMextract>)






Lenc et al (2017)

RM Grid: Fundamental tool for the future

$$\text{RM} \propto \int n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

Sources to study:

- Milky Way
- Galaxies
- Clusters
- Cosmic Web





Surveys for polarisation:

- ASKAP POSSUM
- MWA GLEAM
- SKA ...

RM Grid: Fundamental tool for the future

$$RM \propto \int n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$




Sources to study:

- Milky Way
- Galaxies
- Clusters
- Cosmic Web

Surveys for polarisation:

- ASKAP POSSUM
- MWA GLEAM
- SKA ...

RM Grid: Fundamental tool for the future




*Courtesy of
Charlotte Sobey*



- LOFAR HBA RMs (Sobey et al. in prep., ~200 squares)
- Current pulsar RM catalogue (Manchester et al. 2005, 680 circles)
- Extragalactic sources (Oppermann et al. 2014, background)

Circular polarisation: Zeeman effect

- Atoms/molecules with net magnetic moment have split energy levels (and thus transitions) in presence of magnetic field
- If magnetic field parallel to LoS, circularly polarised components



Spectral line profiles




Verschuur (1969)

Widefield polarimetry

Widefield polarimetry with SPF antennas


- Widefield response of antenna to the different feeds leads to a complicated pattern in polarization quantities

The VLA's L and R polarizations effectively point in different directions:



Resulting (idealized) Stokes V response →

EVLA Memo 58: Brisken




EVLA Memo 113: Cotton & Uson

Widefield polarimetry with SPF antennas

- For ATCA, from the User Guide:
 - On-axis instrumental polarisation is typically below 2-3%, reducing to $\approx 0.1\%$ after calibration
 - Off-axis polarisation increases roughly as the square of the distance from the pointing centre at least up to the half-power point
 - At 16, 6 and 3cm, the instrumental polarisation is about 3%, 1.6% and 3% of the apparent total intensity at the half power point, respectively
 - At 16 and 6cm, almost purely linear instrumental polarization; at 3cm the circularly polarised component is $<1\%$ at the half-power point
 - Alt-az mount \Rightarrow off-axis response varies with parallactic angle
 - `miriad task offpol` can be used to simulate off-axis polarimetric response of a long synthesis observation. Mosaicing smears out the off-axis response still further, by as much as an order of magnitude.

Widefield polarimetry for aperture arrays

- Aperture arrays have fixed dipoles (with fixed response pattern on the sky), combined in one or more beamforming stages (analogue and/or digital)
- Like ASKAP PAF, but fixed on ground







Widefield polarimetry for aperture arrays

- In principle, large leakage signals are expected to result if direction-, time-, and frequency-dependent beam corrections are not applied to the data

LBA element beam model (50 MHz)



ASTRON



Brentjens

Widefield polarimetry for aperture arrays



- In practice, leakage signals ~ 10 s of percent of Stokes I are seen e.g. MWA:



Sokolowski et al (submitted)

"Peeling" as a key step for polarization


- Removal of instrumentally polarized source using direction-dependent calibration mitigates artificial diffuse polarization:



Jelic et al (2015)


"Peeling" as a key step for polarization

- Removal of instrumentally polarized source using direction-dependent calibration mitigates artificial diffuse polarization:




ASKAP beam shapes

- Plots courtesy of Dave McConnell:




ASKAP instrumental polarization: linear

- Instrumental polarization in Q,U from several beams overlapped



Craig Anderson

ASKAP polarimetric mosaic: Stokes V




GH

A-projection

- Postpunk band from Stockholm, Sweden (latest album cover: a nice clean image free of leakage?)
- ... is *also* a sophisticated technique to take into account the full-polarization antenna response during the imaging process

Bhatnagar et al (2008)
(See Tim Cornwell's lecture)

$$V_{ij}^{\text{Obs}} = M_{ij} \int M_{ij}^{\text{Sky}}(s) I(s) e^{2\pi i s \cdot b_{ij}} ds$$



Widefield polarimetry: key concepts

- Antenna response to X,Y (or R,L) is different, and this leads to complicated polarimetric response across the beam
- "Leakage" between Stokes parameters is the empirical result
- Aperture arrays and PAFs require thinking about a hierarchical beam pattern (e.g. MWA: dipole and tile beam in combination)
- Leakage can be mitigated in several ways, e.g.:
 - Removal of bright sources (does not fix leakage for other sources)
 - Application of corrections in the image plane (for identical beams)
 - Application of per-antenna response as a Jones matrix in the measurement equation (see A-projection for details)

Advanced techniques

Rotation measure synthesis

- Rotation measure synthesis is a simple technique to search for periodic signal in the complex Q,U polarization vector, as a function of the primary observational dimension, λ^2
- This is achieved with a direct Fourier transform
 - Conjugate coordinate is "Faraday depth" (equivalent to RM, for a simple source)
- Can be thought of as a brute-force search for the RM that maximises the polarized signal
- Benefits:
 - Avoids $n\pi$ ambiguity described earlier (makes use of full frequency information available with modern spectrometers)
 - Allows separation of multiple sources with different RMs within the synthesized beam (including instrumental polarization at RM ~ 0 rad/m²)
 - Permits use of full broadband sensitivity, without risking bandwidth depolarization that would result from frequency averaging -- this is very important for sources with large RM values

RM Synthesis: basic equations

- Introduced by Burn (1966), further developed in full detail by Brentjens & de Bruyn (2005)

$$RM \rightarrow \phi = 0.81 \int_{\text{source}}^{\text{observer}} n_e \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

Faraday
depth

- The polarization properties of a source at a particular Faraday depth and observing wavelength:

$$\mathbf{P} = |P| e^{2i\chi} = |P| e^{2i(\chi_0 + \phi\lambda^2)} = \underbrace{|P| e^{2i\chi_0}}_{\text{Intrinsic polarization}} \underbrace{e^{2i\phi\lambda^2}}_{\text{Faraday rotation}}$$


- Defining "Faraday dispersion function" and summing over Faraday depths:

$$\mathbf{P}(\lambda^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{F}(\phi) e^{2i\phi\lambda^2} d\phi$$

RM Synthesis: basic equations

- We note that the previous equation is like a Fourier transform, and since we know & love Fourier transforms we know how to invert it:

$$\mathbf{P}(\lambda^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{F}(\phi) e^{2i\phi\lambda^2} d\phi$$



$$\mathbf{F}(\phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{P}(\lambda^2) e^{-2i\phi\lambda^2} d\lambda^2$$

Physics

Observed polarization

RM Synthesis: basic equations

- The fact that we don't observe all frequencies, and in particular that we can't observe negative values of λ^2 , means that we must work instead with a discrete (rather than continuous) form of the RM Synthesis equation:

$$\mathbf{F}(\phi) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{P}_i e^{-2i\phi\lambda_i^2}$$

- Two additional tweaks are made in practice: (i) addition of "shift" term in the exponential to slow down rotation of polarization vector in $\mathbf{F}(\phi)$ [this is purely cosmetic]; and (ii) the application of per-channel weights (can be done similar to imaging):

$$\mathbf{F}(\phi) = K \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \mathbf{P}_i e^{-2i\phi(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_0^2)}$$

RM Synthesis: basic equations

- Observational consequences: bandwidth and channelisation



$$\delta\phi \approx \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\Delta\lambda^2}$$

More bandwidth \Rightarrow better RM precision

$$\phi_{\max} \approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\delta\lambda^2}$$



Finer channels \Rightarrow recover higher RMs

- Pros and cons of high vs low frequency:

	"High" frequency (>700 MHz)	"Low" frequency (<350 MHz)
	Recovery of complicated emission	Excellent precision on RMs
	Poor precision on RMs	Loss of all but simplest emission



Rotation measure synthesis

- Recall previous example: polarized pulsar with $RM = -64 \text{ rad/m}^2$



Rotation measure synthesis

- Recall previous example: polarized pulsar with $RM = -64 \text{ rad/m}^2$



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN)

- Mathematically, the sparse frequency coverage leads to a convolution of the Faraday dispersion function with the so-called Rotation Measure Spread Function (RMSF), similar to the "dirty beam" in synthesis imaging:

$$\mathbf{R}(\phi) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-2i\phi(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_0^2)}$$


$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\phi) = \mathbf{F}(\phi) \star \mathbf{R}(\phi)$$

- Thus, the RMSF can be deconvolved from the recovered Faraday dispersion function leading to a better estimate of the intrinsic Faraday dispersion function
- Tasks to do this are available (RM CLEAN in `miriad`, for example)

RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 0



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 10



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 20



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 30



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 40



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 50



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 75



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 100



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 125



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 150



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 175



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example

- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)


NITER = 200



RM Deconvolution (RM CLEAN): example



- Polarized pulsar displayed previously:
(NB: polarized intensity shown, but RMCLEAN operates on Q,U)

NITER = 200



Faraday tomography

- Faraday depth does not directly translate to physical depth
- Other considerations, such as angular/physical scale and cumulative effect of multiple Faraday rotating layers, are required
- This translation to a 3-D physical picture is **Faraday tomography**



Jelic et al (2015)

QU fitting & Faraday dispersion modeling

- In practice, limitations of RM Synthesis and RM-CLEAN lead us to consider a complementary approach in some cases: namely, model-fitting in the observational (λ^2) domain
- Principles of Fourier transforms as described by Max and Mark apply here, too: e.g. large structures are small in the conjugate domain, and vice-versa; sharp edges in one domain correspond to power on a broad range of scales in the conjugate domain
- For broadband polarimetry, we are probing sources with polarized emission that is present at a range of Faraday depth, e.g.:
 - turbulent magnetic fields and/or thermal electron density
 - ordered magnetic field embedded in a thermal plasma with cosmic rays (mixed synchrotron-emitting and Faraday-rotating)
- Example: "Exclamation mark" source observed with 3 broadband telescopes: ATCA, ASKAP/BETA, and MWA


QU fitting & Faraday dispersion modeling

- Two components favoured with properties consistent with high-resolution imaging



Two “RM component” models

$$P = p_{01} e^{2i(\chi_{01} + \text{RM}_1 \lambda^2)} e^{-2\sigma_{\text{RM}_1}^2 \lambda^4} + p_{02} e^{2i(\chi_{02} + \text{RM}_2 \lambda^2)} e^{-2\sigma_{\text{RM}_2}^2 \lambda^4}$$



$$P = p_{01} e^{2i(\chi_{01} + \text{RM}_1 \lambda^2)} \frac{\sin \Delta \text{RM}_1 \lambda^2}{\Delta \text{RM}_1 \lambda^2} + p_{02} e^{2i(\chi_{02} + \text{RM}_2 \lambda^2)} \frac{\sin \Delta \text{RM}_2 \lambda^2}{\Delta \text{RM}_2 \lambda^2}$$

Slide courtesy of
Shane O'Sullivan

O'Sullivan et al
(2017, in prep)

Questions?

CSIRO ASTRONOMY AND SPACE SCIENCE
www.csiro.au

