## Transparent feed legs

Improving the ASKAP survey speed Keith Bannister - CASS ATUC - 24 Nov 2016

## Continuum Survey Speed

$$SS_s = \Omega Bn_p \left(\frac{AN\eta_a\eta_c\sigma_s}{2kT_{sys}}\right)^2$$

General

$$SS_s \propto Bn_p \left(\frac{DNN_b \eta_a \eta_c \sigma_s}{2k(T_{rec} + T_{scat})}\right)^2$$

Dishes

SS = Survey Speed Omega = Field of View B = Bandwidth np np = Number of polarisations A = antenna area N = number of antennas eta\_a = Antenna Efficiency Eta\_c = Correlator efficiency sigma\_s = Desired continuum sensitivity k = Boltzmann's constant Tsys = System Temperature Trec = Receiver Temperature Tsca = Scattering Temperature D = Antenna Diameter

### SEFD Measurement is tricky - measurements are ongoing

# Upgrade Menu

Parameter	Current	Upgraded	Method	Delta SS	
Trec (K)	40	20	Rocket PAF	4.0	
Tscat (K)	20	16	Transparent	2.0	
eta_a	0.7	0.8	Legs		
D (m)	12	15	Petals	1.6	
B (MHz)	300	384	More Correlator	1.2	
Totals				~15	

### Improving Tsys and eta\_a with Transparent Legs

## Transparent wha?

- Scattering of ground radiation off the quadrupod feed legs increases ASKAP Tsys/eta ~ 15-20K (see Stuart Hay's PAFs & beams talk 15 Sep 2015)
- Transparent (i.e. non-conducting) feed legs could help
- Early in the ASKAP project, ATNF investigated transparent feed legs as a method reducing side lobe confusion.
- MJK contacted ISOTRUSS for a sample and tested its transparency at 1.6 and 4.1 GHz using ATCA holography (see Mike Kesteven's P&B talk 16 Feb 2016).
- The sample was much heavier than what we would have used. Nonetheless its performance at 1.6 GHz was encouraging
- We abandoned the idea when we got a price for a 3rd axis (sky mount). It was perceived at the time that this would be a simpler option and more likely to succeed, at least as far as side lobe confusion goes.



### ADE PAF in Aperture Array



## ADE PAF on Dish



# Modelling results

Stuart Hay (Data 61)

#### **Strut Geometry and Regions on Far-field Sphere**







-40

-20

0

 $\phi_{\rm 1,a}$  (deg)

20

40

-40

-20

20

0

 $\phi_{\rm 1,a}$  (deg)

40

#### **Red Region**

0.724GHz FoV at zenith Max-SNR weights



#### No struts

Region 5 power pattern (dB)

Ν



Region 5 power pattern (dB)



#### **Green Region**

0.724GHz FoV at zenith Max-SNR weights





No struts Region 2 power pattern (dB)



#### **Blue Region**



y polarized beam

x polarized beam

Region 1 power pattern (dB) 0 0 -40 -40 -30 -30 -10 -10 -20 -20 -20 -20 -10 -10  $\phi_{1,\mathrm{b}}$  (deg)  $\phi_{1,\mathrm{b}}$  (deg) -30 -30 0 0 -40 -40 10 10 20 20 -50 -50 30 30 -60 -60 40 40 -70 -70 -20 -20 -40 0 20 40 -40 0 20 40  $\phi_{1,a}$  (deg)  $\phi_{1,a}$  (deg)











## ISOTRUSS



93m tower in Spanish Fork, Utah - isotruss.com



One of the worlds lightest and strongest bikes - isotruss.com



12x stronger than steel and 1/12 the weight - isotruss.com



Useful for climbing. And also waving - isotruss.com



ISOTRUSS

And driving on. - Open Air Composites

### Tubes



"Budget estimate (non binding) AUD\$1.5M ± 20% of tubes and centre (focal point) end-fitting only supply"

## EM Simulation of Tubes



Alex Dunning

### Foam Sandwiches



## Reflection

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Alex Dunning

### Foam sandwich tube: "Grillage" model

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Design

	Support Tube Preliminary Laminates						Thickness	Ply Weight
QTY	Ply Name	Fibre Angle	Description	Process	FVF	FWF	(mm)	(g/m²)
1	EDB125 Ehfv	±45*	Stitched double bias E-glass	vacuum consolidated epoxy	0.46	0.64	0.11	222
1	UT-E300 Ehfv	0*	Unidirectional E-glass	vacuum infused epoxy	0.47	0.65	0.25	448
1	Corecell M60		SAN foam core 🛛 🥐 🥖				5.00	325
1	UT-E300 Ehfv	0*	Unidirectional E-glass	vacuum infused epoxy	0.47	0.65	0.25	448
1	EDB125 Ehfv	±45*	Stitched double bias E-glass	vacuum consolidated epoxy	0.46	0.64	0.11	222
1	resin grams= 1000	-	core prime	infusion	-	-	0.00	1000
			$\sim$			Totals	5.7	2664.9
	0 5 0 Material De		$\sim$					

Manager 1 December 1 0.5 0

## McConaghy Sample

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Approximate Material Costs

- Solid Tubes: AUD $$1.5M \pm 20\%$
- Foam Sandwich tubes: \$400k

### Future work

- Measure samples in the antenna range
- Finalise simulation work
- Far sidelobe holography using ASKAP-12
- Compare measurements with simulations
- Single-antenna prototype???