Satellite Constellations and RADIO ASTRONOMY





Ashley Zauderer, Program Director Electromagnetic Spectrum Management March 5, 2020 – IUCAF 5th School



2020: A decade with new opportunities



Credit: LSST



Credit: almaobservatory.org



2020: A decade with new opportunities *and* **new challenges**



optical interference



radio interference

• What is coming? Constellations of thousands of NGSO satellites (10-50+ GHz transmitters) such that from any location you would always "see" at least one and up to 3 or 4 satellites or more!





• What is coming? Constellations of thousands of NGSO satellites (10-50+ GHz transmitters) such that from any location you would always "see" at least one and up to 3 or 4 satellites or more!



ONEWEB NGSO satellite constellation coverage; from SE40 ECC 271 report (Fig. 1)





Changes in Satellite Constellations

- Numbers of satellites is increasing; -factor of 10 over the next 20 years
- Type of orbit is changing;
 -GSO -> NGSO constellations in LEO; 500 1200 km
 -Closer, brighter
- Radio frequencies utilized is increasing;-problem for radio astronomy



Starlink Initial Phase

1,584 satellites into 72 orbital planes of 22 satellites each





Optical image of NGC 5353/4 galaxy group (25 May 2019)

Image Credit: Victoria Girgis / Lowell Observatory https://www.iau.org/public/images/detail/ann19035a/



Satellite orbits



Note: Not drawn to scale

https://futurenetworks.ieee.org/images/files/ pdf/Webinars/5G_satellite_ieee_webinar.pdf

GSO Orbital slots



https://futurenetworks.ieee.org/images/files/ pdf/Webinars/5G satellite ieee webinar.pdf



Figure from ITU-R Report SA.2349-04

Some Characteristics of NGSO Systems to Consider:

- type of orbit, LEO, MEO, HEO etc.;
- orbit altitude;
- orbit inclination (to the equatorial plane);
- number of planes;
- number of satellites per plane;
- satellite size;
- satellite shape and design;
- aggregate impact of multiple NGS systems;
- reflectivity of material;
- whether satellite can re-orient real-time;
- earth station location and minimum elevation.

Modified from SE40 ECC report 271



https://corpblog.viasat.com/how-it-works-the-technology-behind-satellite-internet/



 Figures from presentation by Portillo et al.; see <u>http://www.mit.edu/~portillo/files/Comparison-LEO-IAC-2018-slides.pdf</u>



Methodology for calculating data loss matters...



Sky Map of Data Loss [%] Effelsberg, Germany (50.5247° N, 6.8836° E) Average loss = 1.9%; Peak loss = 26%

Figure 64: Effelsberg – Sky Map of Percent Data Loss



Geographic Isolation of RAS sites: less helpful for RFI from satellites



Image credit: almaobservatory.org



Summary

- Number of satellites anticipated to increase by ten-fold
- Satellites have different characteristics (NGSO, LEO) than we are accustomed to in our data; rapidly changing deployment scenarios (e.g. change to FCC filings)
- Initial studies indicate a serious data loss for radio astronomy sites, including in the internationally protected bands



Questions and Comments

bezauder@nsf.gov

Electromagnetic Spectrum Office: <u>esm@nsf.gov</u>