High dynamic range and high fidelity imaging

TimCornwell
The Basic Measurement Equation of Interferometry

- The data we collect are related to what we want to know via a measurement equation.

- For small fields of view, the monochromatic visibility is the 2D Fourier transform of the sky:

\[
V(u,v) = \int I(l,m) e^{2\pi j (ul + vm)} dldm
\]

- Straightforward to invert to obtain an image:

\[
I^D = \sum_i w_i V(u_i, v_i) e^{2\pi j (u_i l + v_i m)}
\]
Fidelity

- Accuracy of representation of source structure
- ~ on source signal to noise
- Not directly measurable or easily quantifiable
- Requires simulation
- Related to measurement strategy
Deconvolution

\[ I^D = \sum_{i} w_i V(u_i, v_i) e^{2\pi j (u_i l + v_i m)} \]

\[ B^D = \sum_{i} w_i e^{2\pi j (u_i l + v_i m)} \]

\[ I^D = B^D \otimes I^{sky} \]

- Dirty image = true sky convolved with dirty beam
- Solve iteratively for sky using a deconvolution algorithm
- CLEAN, MEM, compressive sampling
Problems in deconvolution

- Invisible distributions \( B^D \otimes Z \approx 0 \)
- Cannot work miracles: complex field + poor uv coverage
- CLEAN models extended distributions as collection of point sources
- CLEAN is iterative and may not have converged
- Standard CLEAN emphasises full resolution
- Multi-scale CLEAN works for a range of scale sizes
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Fidelity lessons

• On source noise level $>>$ off source noise level

• Residuals can be low but the image has on-source errors

• Different deconvolution algorithms give subtly answers

• Be cautious of invisible distributions

• See also Naomi’s talk on zero spacing (broad scale structure)

• Take more data: more time, frequencies, different array, single dish, …
Dynamic range

• The ability to see a weak signal in the presence of a stronger signal

• Typically defined as ratio of peak source/rms rumble

• Measureable and quantifiable

• But varies with time, frequency, scale, polarisation,…

• Tests many aspects of the telescope

• Often directly related to science
Failure of normal calibration

- Effects depends on nature of errors
  - e.g. Linear phase gradient across an array leads to position offset
  - e.g. Time-variable phase gradients leads to source wandering
  - e.g. Moderate statistical errors leads to decorrelation: seeing disk
- Large uncorrelated errors: cannot image!
Movie of point source at 22GHz

- Source moves

- See anti-symmetry errors: signature of phase errors

- Three armed structure reflects VLA shape
Effects of statistical errors in snapshot image

- N antennas, N(N-1)/2 baselines, snapshot imaging

  One baseline with phase error $\phi$
  $$DR \approx \frac{N^2}{\sqrt{2}\phi}$$

  One baseline with amplitude error $\mathcal{E}$
  $$DR \approx \frac{N^2}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{E}}$$

  One antenna with random phase error

  All antennas have random phase error
  $$DR \approx \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}\phi}$$
ME with calibration errors

- Antenna-based errors

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* \int I(l,m) e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l + v_{i,j}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

- Solve for the antenna gains from measurements with a point source of known strength and position

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* S \]

- Or from a known model

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* \sum_k \text{Se}^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_k + v_{i,j}m_k)} \]

- Or solve for both image and calibration

\[ \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} \left| V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) - g_i g_j^* \sum_k \text{Se}^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_k + v_{i,j}m_k)} \right|^2 \]
Why does this work?

- Interferometric array measures $N(N - 1)/2$ phases
- There are $N - 1$ free antenna phases
- So we have $(N - 1)(N - 2)/2$ constraints - the closure phases
Closure phase

- Three antennas from 120km baselines in MERLIN at 408MHz
- Data taken in 1980!
- Top three lines are baseline phases
- Bottom is the closure phase - sum of phases around a loop
- Good observable even in present of strong antenna-based phase errors
ME with calibration errors

- Antenna-based errors

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j},v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* \int I(l,m) e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l + v_{i,j}m)} \, dl \, dm \]

- Solve for the antenna gains from measurements with a point source of known strength and position

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j},v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* S \]

- Or from a known model

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j},v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* \sum_k S e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_k + v_{i,j}m_k)} \]

- Or solve for both image and calibration

\[ \sum_{i,j} w_{i,j} \left| V_{i,j}(u_{i,j},v_{i,j}) - g_i g_j^* \sum_k S e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_k + v_{i,j}m_k)} \right|^2 \]
Standard imaging
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Selfcalibration signal to noise limits

• Self-calibration imposes consistency relationship for visibility phases

• SNR must be sufficient for phase measurement to be meaningful

• For quasi point source, error in phase part of gain is

\[ \sigma_g^2 = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{(N_{\text{ant}} - 2)S^2} \]

• Requires Signal to noise per antenna \( >> 1 \)

• Beware bias! e.g. Selfcalibrating noise!
Closure errors

$$V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = c_{i,j}g_{i}g_{j}^{*}\sum_{k} Se^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_{k} + v_{i,j}m_{k})}$$

- What happens if the calibration errors do not factorise per antenna?
- Modern digital correlators should not have closure errors
- Can appear if delays or time standards have large errors
- Also pointing errors for well-filled field of view
Direction independent and direction dependent effects

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = g_i g_j^* \int I(l,m) e^{2\pi j (u_{i,j} l + v_{i,j} m)} dldm \]

- In our formulation so far the errors are the same over the field of view
- Some effects are direction dependent

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = \int g_i(l,m) g_j^*(l,m) I(l,m) e^{2\pi j (u_{i,j} l + v_{i,j} m)} dldm \]
Direction dependent effects

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j},v_{i,j}) = \int g_i(l,m)g_j^*(l,m)I(l,m)e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l+v_{i,j}m)} \ dldm \]

- Some calibration errors can be direction dependent
- Antenna primary beams
- Ionospheric phase
- We know how to do the math
- But it can be very expensive to compute!
Primary Beam Correction: A-Projection

Bhatnagar et al, 2008

Apply PB correction in the UV-domain before visibilities are combined.

\[ I_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = I_{ij}^{\text{psf}} * \left[ P_{ij} \cdot I_{\text{sky}} \right] \quad \rightarrow \quad V_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = S_{ij} \cdot \left[ A_{ij} * V_{\text{sky}} \right] \]

For each visibility, apply \( A_{ij}^{-1} \approx \frac{A_{ij}^T}{A_{ij}^T * A_{ij}} \)

(1) Use \( A_{ij}^T \) as the convolution function during gridding

(2) Divide out \( FT \left[ \sum_{ij} A_{ij}^T * A_{ij} \right] \) from the image (in stages).

- Conjugate transpose corrects for known pointing offsets such as beam squint.

- An additional phase ramp is applied for different pointings to make a joint mosaic.
A projection

C. Tasse et al.: Applying full polarization A-Projection to very wide field of view instruments
Sidelobes from a single transient sources

- Suppose a source at \((l_0, m_0)\) in the field increases flux by \(\Delta S\)
- This causes a pattern \(B_{\text{snapshot}}^D(l-l_0, m-m_0)\Delta S\)
- This is weighted by the duty cycle
- e.g. 10% flux change for 6 min of a ten hour observation for an array with 1% rms sidelobes limits dynamic range to 100,000
- Can be much worse for if uv coverage is gathered over long time e.g. ATCA (Baerbel’s talk)
Sidelobes from exterior sources in rotating primary beam
ATCA antenna

- Antenna coordinate system tied to Earth
- Twists over time with respect to sky
- See feed legs!
WSRT antenna

- Antenna coordinate system aligned to axis of Earth
- Antenna primary beam is fixed with respect to sky
- Allows very high dynamic range imaging
Sidelobes from sources in a rotating primary beam
ASKAP antennas

- Novel design of ASKAP antenna
- Surface and feed legs rotate independent of backing structure
- Small incremental cost
- Also simplifies focal plane array processing
- Improved science output!

https://youtu.be/gAgxY6QL5bl
“Peeling” exterior sources

- Exterior source has different gain than main field of view
- Sidelobes and/or ionospheric phases

\[
V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = g_{i,\text{peel}}^* g_{j,\text{peel}}^* S_{\text{peel}} e^{2\pi j (u_{i,j} l_{k,\text{peel}} + v_{i,j} m_{k,\text{peel}})} + \sum_k S e^{2\pi j (u_{i,j} l_k + v_{i,j} m_k)}
\]

- Degrees of freedom can get out of hand if we peel too many sources!
“Peeling” exterior sources
Non-isoplanatism

\[ V_{i,j}(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}) = \sum_{k} g_i(l_k, m_k) g_j^*(l_k, m_k) S e^{2\pi j(u_{i,j}l_k + v_{i,j}m_k)} \]

- All sources have a different complex gain
- Need some constraints to glue phases together
  - e.g. nearby sources have same phase error
  - e.g. phase screen or screens at height on ionosphere
- It is possible to calibrate if the phase screen (the ionosphere) is sufficiently well behaved
- Multiple competing approaches being developed
Origin of ionospheric non-isoplanatism
Facet-based calibration
Improvement during facet selfcalibration
Summary

• The Measurement Equation formalism describes an idealised “non-ideal telescope”

• Provided the ME is sufficiently accurate and there is enough SNR then the family of self-calibration techniques can help with unknown time-variable effects

• The ME approach can deal with complicated effects such as time-variable but known primary beams

• Future is to apply to unknown effects such as pointing errors

• Computing complexity for direction dependent effects can be very high
High dynamic range lessons

- Can be estimated from results
- Calibration and imaging can both lead to dynamic range limitations
- High DR requires deep understanding of the telescope